

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	Advanced Sterile Compounding: Current Standards
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3781
Title of the Manuscript:	Advanced Sterile Compounding: Current Standards
Type of the Article	COMPLETE BOOK

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript offers a comprehensive analysis of the current standards in sterile compounding, emphasizing its role in ensuring patient safety and compliance with regulatory guidelines. The detailed discussion of USP Chapter 797's revisions and its application in both large and small facilities highlights its relevance for practitioners. It bridges the gap between regulatory frameworks and practical implementation, providing actionable insights for healthcare professionals.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate and accurately reflects the manuscript's focus. No changes are suggested.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is clear, informative, and comprehensive. It effectively summarizes the core content, but the addition of a statement on the manuscript's contribution to addressing practical challenges in sterile compounding could enhance its impact.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is well-structured with clear subsections, but the inclusion of summary points or practical examples at the end of major sections could enhance readability.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. It provides up-to-date references, detailed procedural guidelines, and a thorough analysis of sterile compounding standards. The discussion on new categories in USP Chapter 797 (e.g., risk-based categorization of CSPs) and the emphasis on competency assessments reflect a high level of technical accuracy.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and include recent sources. Additional references on case studies demonstrating the implementation of the discussed guidelines could strengthen the practical relevance.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is scholarly and appropriate for the target audience. Minor grammatical refinements in some sections could improve fluency (e.g., rephrasing long sentences for clarity).	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript could benefit from a glossary of key terms to aid readers unfamiliar with technical jargon. The manuscript is a valuable resource for advancing sterile compounding practices. Minor revisions in language and the addition of practical examples or a glossary will enhance its accessibility and utility for a broader audience.	

[Review Form 2](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Swapnil Deelip Phalak
Department, University & Country	Konkan Gyanpeeth Rahul Dharkar College Of Pharmacy and Research Institute, University Of Mumbai, India