Review Form2

Book Name:	Business, Management and Economics: Research Progress
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3792
Title of the Manuscript:	The Influence of 'Adventure Tourism Activities' in promoting tourism business in mountain stations
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript offers valuable insights into the growing role of mountain stations in tourism	
	development, with a specific focus on Tamil Nadu. It explores key factors like eco-tourism, local	
	community involvement, infrastructure development, and the strategies employed by the Tamil Nadu	
	Tourism Development Corporation (TTDC) to boost tourism. The combination of statistical data and	
	real-world applications makes this manuscript significant for both policy-makers and academics	
	interested in sustainable tourism. I appreciate the focus on eco-tourism, which aligns well with current	
	global sustainability goals, but it could benefit from further exploration of the practical challenges in	
	balancing development with conservation.	
Is the title of the article suitable?	The title is generally suitable, but a more specific title could help convey the main focus more clearly. I	
(If not please suggest an alternative title)	suggest: "Tourism Development in Mountain Stations of Tamil Nadu: An Analysis of Eco-Tourism and	
	Sustainable Practices."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but could benefit from a clearer mention of the research methodology and a more explicit connection between the findings and the implications for future tourism development. For example, it could briefly highlight the study's conclusions regarding the role of eco-tourism and community engagement in tourism development.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript is well-organized, with logical subsections that cover all relevant aspects of tourism development. However, some areas, such as the methodology, could be elaborated further to clarify the research process. The paper's flow from introduction to analysis and conclusions is coherent, and the detailed tables provide useful data to support the arguments.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust as it draws on credible sources, includes relevant data analysis, and addresses critical topics like sustainable tourism and eco-tourism. The use of tables and statistics effectively supports the research claims. The study is technically sound, but further details on the methodology and any limitations encountered in data collection would strengthen its scientific foundation. Additionally, the research could benefit from an exploration of specific challenges faced by the tourism industry in Tamil Nadu.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are generally sufficient, with some notable academic sources cited. However, there could be a greater inclusion of more recent references, particularly focusing on emerging trends in eco-tourism and sustainable development. References to recent reports or studies on tourism post-2015 could be beneficial.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 2 (08-07-2024)

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is generally suitable for scholarly communication, though there are some minor areas where sentence structures could be improved for clarity. Overall, it is clear and professional but may benefit from a final edit to enhance readability and flow.
Optional/General comments	Consider expanding the methodology section to clarify the type of data analysis used. The conclusion could be strengthened by summarizing key recommendations more explicitly. Include more recent references to ensure the manuscript reflects the latest research in tourism and sustainability. This manuscript makes a significant contribution to the understanding of tourism development in Tamil Nadu's mountain stations, particularly in the context of eco-tourism. While the study is well-documented, a more detailed discussion of the challenges in implementing tourism policies would add depth. Additionally, providing more information on the environmental impact of tourism activities would make the manuscript more comprehensive. Overall, it is a valuable resource for academics, practitioners, and policymakers in the field of tourism development.

PART 2:

		Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dattatraya Vilas Harpale
Department, University & Country	HPT Arts & RYK Science College, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 2 (08-07-2024)