Review Form2

| Book Name: | Business, Management and Economics: Research Progress |
|--------------------------|--|
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_BPR_3792 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The Influence of 'Adventure Tourism Activities' in promoting tourism business in mountain stations |
| Type of the Article | Book chapter |

PART 1: Review Comments

| mpulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback(F part in the manuscript. his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The topic studied is important for the scientific community. Tourism is an economic branch with an extraordinary development potential, which can solve some of the economic and social problems of disadvantaged areas, creating new jobs and a better life for residents. The study and implementation of the gates applied by touristic areas is an example of good practices. | , |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes, the title of the article is suitable. | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract in not comprehensive. The abstract does not clarify the study carried out and the main conclusion. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The topic studied is important for the scientific community, but the study is carried out for a too old period, namely the years 2008-2013. In the last 11 years, the economy and tourism have developed non-linearly, even exploded, with many elements of technology. Thus, I consider that the analysis of that period cannot be sufficient to draw relevant acctualy conclusions. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | The references are sufficient, but most of them are not very recent. | |

(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that ipt. It is mandatory that authors should write re)

Review Form2

| Minor REVISION comments | | |
|---|-----|--|
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | yes | |
| Optional/Generalcomments | no | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment(if a |
|--|---|----------------------------|
| | | highlight that part in the |
| | | write his/her feedback |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |
| | | |

Reviewer Details:

| Name: | Slusariuc Gabriela Corina |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Department, University & Country | University of Petrosani, Romania |

if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should k here)