

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3802
Title of the Manuscript:	Non-Traditional Research Methods and Regional Planning Needs in Developing Countries: Is there an Ideal Methodology?
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript topic is critical in the field as it will be very beneficial for the scientific society. I like such type of topics because it is not a traditional topic and it will be helpful for researcher and educationists. I appreciate the efforts of the researcher(s) who make it possible and present for the benefit of the research community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Add more information to make it comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, all the sections are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The title of this manuscript is non-traditional and meets all the requirements of the research that is why i endorsed the manuscript for publication.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There is a dire need to update the references of the manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There is a need to proof read the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nazir Haider Shah
Department, University & Country	University of Sargodha, Pakistan