

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3802
Title of the Manuscript:	Non-Traditional Research Methods and Regional Planning Needs in Developing Countries: Is there an Ideal Methodology?
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	If the concerns raised—such as what research techniques, non-traditional research methods, and regional planning are—had been clearly stated and clarified, this work may have been beneficial and significant for the scientific community. The listed references are too outdated to be applicable to regional planning today. The author needed further instruction in research article writing.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Is there a best bpproach for Non-Traditional Research Methods and Regional Planning requirements in Developing Nations?	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract poorly written and shallow. Could not stand for a standard abstract. “In order to support local/regional information needs and decision-making processes in developing countries, methodologies with these characteristics are not only desirable but also necessary. This paper examines the limitations of traditional research methodologies in addressing critical problems in developing countries, like deforestation. The study found that rapid assessment research has made quantitative and qualitative research methodologies more flexible and cost-effective, but they are still ill-equipped to handle the complexity of local and regional issues, as well as the conjunctural and holistic nature of those issues. As a result, a new methodology must be put into place that could be a good aid to assist less developed countries in supporting and/or rejecting existing environmental experiences, theories, and perceptions in a recognised scientific manner”.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections are not organised correctly. The technique used, the literature review, and an active discussion of the main points of the article are all missing from this part.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is not, in my opinion, scientifically correct. Both the analysis' technical soundness and robustness are lacking.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The references are too few and outdated to be used as answers to the problems facing regional planning today.	

Review Form 2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language of the manuscript can be upgraded.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oladayo Ramon Ibrahim
Department, University & Country	Lagos State University of Science and Technology, Nigeria