Review Form2

Book Name:	Disease and Health: Research Developments
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3805
Title of the Manuscript:	Profile and restoration of Immuno-hematological parameters of cutaneous leishmaniasis patients before and during trea Cohort study, Northwest Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(F part in the manuscript. his/her feedback here
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

reatment with sodium stibogluconate: A

(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that ot. It is mandatory that authors should write e)	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	
Optional/General Manuscript entitled 'Profile and restoration of Immuno-hematological parameters of outaneous leishmaniasis patients before and during treatment with sodium stibogluconate: a cohort study, North west Ethiopia' focuses on the cellular profile of patients receiving the SSG treatment before and after treatment in cutaneous leishmaniasis. I would some concerns regarding the manuscript. 1. The authors must highlight the need of the study. The cellular profiles of SSG treatment during, before and after treatment are well established. So the author needs to clarify the idea behind this investigation which is not clear either from introduction, abstract or discussion. 2. The authors need to clarify the statement 'in contrast, other study showed, from a healthy individuals a median of WBC count, of 6.78 x 10 ³ /mm ³ . Although they have not compared any hematological data with the healthy individuals. 3. The authors have mentioned 'highlighting medication efficacy. Like our study, it is evident that treating of CL might challenge and negatively affect the immune-hematological parameters'. The study indicated that the use of SSG cured the patients; only some cellular profiles were decreased which can be restored if a longer follow-up is done. The authors must clarify why the follow ups not done for a longer duration? 4. The authors must discuss the reason behind the increase in NK cells following treatment in contrary to a significant decrease in circulating T cells (73% pre vs. 63% post; p<0.0001). 5. It is also advisable to re-read the manuscript. For eg: In the abstract does to be replaced by dose; spelling of statistical etc. 6. The Lines 'Paromonycin was used to treat for those sever and repeat patients with SSG' Please explain the severe p	

PART 2:

		Author's comment <i>(if a and highlight that pa authors should write h</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that his/her feedback here)

Review Form2

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jyoti Joshi
Department, University & Country	India