

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	<u>Dental Diseases</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3826
Title of the Manuscript:	Dental Diseases
Type of the Article	Complete Book

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Writing this book has taken a lot of work; however, as a publisher, I would ask to whom is it written?. Indeed, not as stated in the Preface. This book is an introduction and not a comprehensive guide (although it does cover a lot of dentistry). It is not a definitive source (where are the references?). It is a superficial catalog without pictures or limited treatment suggestions. This outline of dentistry would help students to organize their thoughts. The Preface claims to show the interaction of dentistry and general health. It does not. If this book were printed, who is the market? I would strongly suggest that the Preface be rewritten and the target audience brought into view.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is too broad. The book does not cover all dental diseases, and there is limited information on how general health affects the diseases/	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Not applicable	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a catalog of dental diseases without references or photos. There is little direct science.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No	

[Review Form2](#)

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The purpose of this catalog needs to be clarified Why and for whom was this book written?	

**PART 2:**

	<b><u>Reviewer's comment</u></b>	<b><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></b>
<b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b>	<b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	George E. White
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	USA