

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	<u>Dental Diseases</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3826
Title of the Manuscript:	Dental Diseases
Type of the Article	Complete Book

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This book adds a lot of value to the scientific community as it explains the importance of dental diseases in a nutshell and it gives a clear view of clinical features and management aspects which is very much helpful for scientific and clinical practitioners. It gives an overall view of various dental diseases and their classifications and their management strategies to some extent.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There are gross errors that can I find throughout the book. Eg. on Page 62 OKC is included in the tumor actually is a cyst that is aggressive and in the following pages it is again mentioned as a cyst. On page 71 osteosarcoma comes under non-odontogenic tumour which is not mentioned under malignant tumour.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There should be at least 10 new references published within 5 years. There is no clear formatted references. For a standard book there should be at least 30 references	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Preferred language correction for international citations required	
Optional/General comments	Scientifically there are flaws which have to be addressed as it may affect the credibility of the publisher.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	T K Divakar
Department, University & Country	Rajas Dental College and Hospital, The Tamil Nadu Dr M.G.R Medical University, India