

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Pharmaceutical Research: Recent Advances and Trends
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3829
Title of the Manuscript:	FORMULATIONS AND EVALUATION OF TRANSUNGUAL DRUG DELIVERY SYSTEM OF MICONAZOLE NITRATE OF AN ANTI-FUNGAL ACTIVITY
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I strongly appreciate the thinking of the authors regarding the formulation, which would be a key point of the article. But still I wish to add more scientific data in the INTRODUCTION section regarding the disease, formulation and especially the evaluation part. And kindly add more data in RESULTS AND DISCUSSION and CONCLUSION part with scientific manner.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I think NO. The title should be "FORMULATIONS AND EVALUATION OF ANTI-FUNGAL ACTIVITY OF TRANSUNGUAL NAIL LACQUER OF MICONAZOLE NITRATE- AN IN-VITRO STUDY"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should be summarised form of your total article. But after review of this article abstract I concluded that the authors should add and focus some more data regarding procedure of the formulation and pharmacological evaluation results etc.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, Its ok.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I will suggest to add the relevance of the study along with re write some part which was highlighted with some scientific manner. And also suggest to the authors to add some more words in INTRODUCTION and clarify METHOD AND MATERIALS part (by using flow diagram). I will suggest to add more literature for the role of Curcumin extract and Guava extract as colouring agent.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I also suggest to the authors to add some more fresh references regarding formulation and pharmacological evaluation etc.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I will suggest that kindly check the grammar, spelling and citation part before final revision.	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form2](#)

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	Jagannath Panda
<u>Department, University & Country</u>	Dadhichi College of Pharmacy, India