

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	Pharmaceutical Research: Recent Advances and Trends
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3829
Title of the Manuscript:	FORMULATIONS AND EVALUATION OF TRANSUNGUAL DRUG DELIVERY SYSTEM OF MICONAZOLE NITRATE OF AN ANTI-FUNGAL ACTIVITY
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	THIS MANUSCRIPT HAVING GOOD VALUE FOR TREATMENT PURPOSE FOR ONYCOMYCOSIS PATIENTS BUT NEEDS SOME CORRECTION IN SCIENTIFIC TERM. YES, IT IS NEEDED FOR UNDERSTANDING THE VALUE OF THIS STUDY.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Not VALIDATION OF MICONAZOLE NITRATE OF AN ANTI-FUNGAL ACTIVITY USED BY TRANSUNGUAL DRUG DELIVERY SYSTEM .	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES THIS SECTION NEED MORE POINTS IN A TARGET SPECIFIC MANNER.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES SOME MORE FIGURES ARE NEEDED.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	YES THIS MANUSCRIPT IS TECHNICALLY GOOD FOR PATIENTS CARE AND CLINICIAN BUT NEED TO WRITE DOWN THE BENEFIT OF ANTIFUNGAL USED AND PATHWAY OF THE SAME.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	NEED LATEST ARTICLES FOR TREATMENT OF ANTIFUNGAL AND PATHWAY OF THE TARGET.	

[Review Form 2](#)

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	NEED SOME CORRECTION SPECIALLY IN RESULT, DISSCUSSION AND CONCLUSION PARTS.	
Optional/General comments	IT IS A GOOD MANUSCRIPT AND SHOULD BE PUBLISHED BUT WITH SOME MODIFICATION WHICH IS NEEDED BEFORE PUBLISHED.	

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shyama Datt
Department, University & Country	University of Delhi, India