

Review Form2

Book Name:	Chemical and Materials Sciences: Developments and Innovations
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3845
Title of the Manuscript:	Microwave-assisted heating in a novel thin film-liquid spinning coaxial reactor
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This work is not important for the scientific community for these reasons: - Not well presented (results, discussion, sections) - Not supported by recent references The authors did not make any change to avoid plagiarism.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but it does not contain results.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the chapter are different from the research paper one.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	- The results are not well discussed	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	No competing interest in this manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohsen Mhadhbi
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Research and Physicochemical Analysis, Tunisia