

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Chemical and Materials Sciences: Developments and Innovations
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3850
Title of the Manuscript:	SnO2 Dense Ceramic Microwave Sintered with Low Resistivity
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is toward mitigation of global warming	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is okay	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is okay	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Most of the references are not recent and should endeavour to add up recent references from 2019 to date.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Fair	
Optional/General comments	At subsection 3.2, second paragraph, the Table there should start with capital letter and be definite on the table that is being mention. It can read like this " from Table 1" The same with subsection 3.3. The X-ray diffraction lacks information, the first peak with miller cell (110) from the left in the X-ray diffractogram shown in Fig 3 indicates the SiO ₂ concentrations and hence the major constituent of your sample. Find the diffracting pattern of SnO ₂ compare with other published literatures and dicuss properly.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunday Chukwuemeka Agbo
Department, University & Country	Projects Development Institute, Nigeria