

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Recent Developments in Chemistry and Biochemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3855
Title of the Manuscript:	Antimycobacterial activity of nitrogen heterocycles derivatives: 7-(pyridine-4-yl)- indolizine derivatives. Part VII ⁸⁻¹²
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As the manuscript is based on testing antituberculous activity of compounds, the results can significantly contribute to the development of newer compounds for management of multi-drug resistant tuberculosis infection which is a major therapeutic challenge.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract need to be revised to make it more comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct and has followed the guidelines for scientific writing. Besides, the research article is technically sound as the methods used are ethically valid. The result and discussion section has appropriate tables and the conclusion is well summarized.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form2](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nitika K Aanand
Department, University & Country	I. T. S Dental College, Hospital and Research Centre, India