

Review Form 3

Book Name:	Newer Frontiers in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3899.1
Title of the Manuscript:	SURGERY WITHOUT SACRIFICE: UNDERSTANDING EJACULATION-PRESERVING TURP OPTIONS
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Thank you for this very interesting chapter . This is beginning of the new era in management of patient of Bladder Outlet obstruction who prefer to preserve their sexual function. I am sure most surgeons would read this chapter more than once, hence there is need to elaborate inorder to give clear view of what surgeon should do.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The word OPTIONS should be removed because there are no other methods explained in the chapter except Ep-TURP	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. LUTS can be caused by several other factors, I would prefer the author to use terms Bladder outlet obstruction(BOO) instead of BPH2. Authors should mention clearly areas crucial to ejaculation function where the surgeon should preserve during Ep-TURP otherwise readers are left in the air3. Schematic presentation is not enough to prove and attract surgeon to adopt Ep-TURP to their patients. I would therefore advice authors to add more diagrams and explanations on the Ep-TURP technique.4. I am not sure if this is the only chapter in the book explaining Ep-TURP, if yes then it is not enough5. There are no data regarding satisfaction record of clients on Ep-TURP, but also no one knows theirs pre-surgery sexual satisfaction inorder to come out strongly that Ep-TURP has good performance	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, technically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, they are enough for this chapter	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is well clear and straight forward.	
Optional/General comments	There is need to provide data for treatment and control group Manunscript needs revision especially on generalized causes of Lower urinarty tract symptoms (LUTS) and data	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthony Mathew Magoda
Department, University & Country	St Francis University College of Health and Allied Health Sciences, Tanzania