

Review Form 3

Book Name:	Newer Frontiers in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_ 3899.2
Title of the Manuscript:	MAXIMIZING RIRS OUTCOMES: TILT FOR ENHANCED STONE REMOVAL AND OPTIMIZING SURGEON’S ERGONOMICS
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript involves newer advancements in RIRS, focusing on techniques to enhance stone removal efficiency and improve the surgeon’s ergonomics. Exploring the impact of patient tilt and positioning during RIRS, this manuscript offers valuable comments into optimizing surgical outcomes and minimizing procedural challenges.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is fine	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, Reduce Keywords up to 5-7 words, please write most favorable size and location of the stone with reference in the introduction. Mention the size and location of the stone in your patient selection criteria and also mention exclusion criteria. Mention the importance of R-URS and pre-stenting before the procedure in your RIRS procedure paragraph, Also mention energy utilized in low pulse mode and high pulse more of laser setting with reference. Patients outcome paragraph mention the possible complications before stone recurrence. Innovations paragraph mention name lasers available and there importance and mention importance of Bandable and FANS in RIRS.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No . please add more references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Satyendra Singh
Department, University & Country	Shri P D Siddhivinayak Hospital, India