

Review Form 3

Book Name:	Newer Frontiers in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3899.4
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ENIGMA OF MULTILOCULAR CYSTIC RENAL TUMORS: LOW MALIGNANT POTENTIAL POSING AS CYSTIC CLEAR CELL CARCINOMA – INSIGHTS FROM A UROLOGIST
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I recognize that this is a goodcase. It would be advantageous to have a collection of cases rather than relying on a single case report. We know that Bosniak IV cysts have a high malignant potential, estimated at 90%. Presenting it solely as a case report would still be beneficial. Additionally, I would appreciate it if you could include intraoperative and postoperative images of the specimen, as that would enhance the report.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Not a suitable title  Bosniak 4 cyst treated through partial nephrectomy which turned out to be multicystic lowgrade clear cell carcinoma: A case report	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Could a new WHO classification be added?	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Please include additional intraoperative and postoperative specimen images. Additionally, inform us about the presence of any positive or negative margins in the specimens. Furthermore, provide more information regarding the Bosniak classification and the updated WHO classification.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Still need more recent references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Needs to put in more data	

**PART 2:**

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<i>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	Ershad Hussain Basha Galeti
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	Royal Stoke University Hospital, United Kingdom