

Review Form3

Book Name:	Newer Frontiers in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3899.7
Title of the Manuscript:	MANAGING GALL BLADDER PERFORATION IN THE SETTING OF PERCUTANEOUS NEPHROLITHOTRIPSY – CHALLENGES AND CLINICAL INSIGHTS
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1] This Manuscript provides valuable insight on managing gallbladder perforation during percutaneous nephrolithotripsy. 2] This Manuscript addressing the gap in current knowledge 3] This manuscript contributes the understanding about evidenced based practice in the medical as well as nursing practice	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title of the manuscript is suitable and appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	1] The abstract of this manuscript is comprehensive and appropriate as per the manuscript objective 2] Need to highlight the significance of managing gall bladder perforation.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	This manuscript appears to be scientifically correct and guides the practitioners to think challenges and insight during PCNL Procedure	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	1] The reference provided in this manuscript are sufficient but, 2] I recommend to add latest research studies to enhance the relevance of the manuscript and academic use. 3] Use Superscript ^[1]	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of this manuscript is acceptable for scholarly communication	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form3](#)

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer’s comment</u>	<u>Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shivaraj Annigeri
Department, University & Country	OP Jindal College of Nursing, India