

Review Form 3

Book Name:	Newer Frontiers in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3899.9
Title of the Manuscript:	APPROACHES TO EMPHYSEMATOUS PYELONEPHRITIS: UNRESOLVED QUESTIONS AND MANAGEMENT PREDICAMENTS
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a critical topic in urology and nephrology, focusing on Emphysematous Pyelonephritis (EPN), a potentially fatal condition. The comprehensive review of diagnostic approaches, classification systems, and management strategies makes it valuable for clinicians. The manuscript is particularly important as it highlights current challenges and management dilemmas, providing essential guidance for healthcare professionals dealing with this serious condition.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title, "Approaches to Emphysematous Pyelonephritis: Unresolved Questions and Management Predicaments," is suitable as it accurately reflects the scope and focus of the manuscript. It emphasizes both the clinical challenges and the unresolved aspects of managing EPN, which are key themes discussed in the chapter.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is generally comprehensive but could benefit from: <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Adding mortality rates and prognosis information- Including brief mention of current treatment approaches- Mentioning the main management challenges- Adding a clear conclusion statement	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically accurate and well-researched, with a clear focus on the mechanisms, diagnosis, and management of EPN. The classifications, such as Huang and Tseng's system, are appropriately cited and explained. The discussion on imaging modalities and their limitations is thorough and aligns with current medical standards. The management strategies, including percutaneous drainage and nephrectomy, are presented with appropriate clinical context. However, the manuscript could benefit from: <ul style="list-style-type: none">- A more detailed discussion of the microbiological aspects of EPN, such as the role of emerging antibiotic resistance in treatment outcomes.- Including insights into new diagnostic or therapeutic advancements, if available.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	While the references appear to be relevant, the manuscript would benefit from: <ul style="list-style-type: none">- More recent references (within the last 5 years)- Additional references supporting management outcomes	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable for scholarly communication, with clear and professional writing. However, minor improvements could be made: - Some sentences could be more concise - Technical terms should be consistently defined at first use	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is a valuable contribution to the understanding of EPN, with its detailed discussion of classification systems, imaging modalities, and management strategies. The inclusion of figures (e.g., CT scans) enhances the visual understanding of the condition. To further improve the manuscript: - Consider adding a summary table comparing different classification systems or management approaches to provide a quick reference for readers. - A brief section on future directions in EPN research, such as the role of artificial intelligence in imaging or precision medicine in treatment planning, would add depth to the discussion. Overall, this chapter is a well-written and comprehensive review of a complex and clinically significant topic, with potential to inform both clinical practice and future research. Recommendation for the Authors: Revise the abstract, update references, and incorporate additional insights into emerging trends and challenges to further enhance the chapter's impact.	

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Serhii Hryshchuk
Department, University & Country	Zhytomyr Ivan Franko State University, Ukraine