

Review Form 3

Book Name:	Science and Technology: Developments and Applications
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_ 3923
Title of the Manuscript:	Sustainable Artificial Intelligence (AI): Challenges Confronted
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript "Sustainable Artificial Intelligence (AI): Challenges to Face" makes a particularly important contribution to developing awareness and action in the identification and responsible use of AI. The contents introduced in the manuscript will help the scientific community better understand the existing and potential risks, providing insights for researchers and those interested in AI on how to balance the technological efficiency and the social impacts created by AI. At the same time, the manuscript's content contributes to building a foundation for cooperation between researchers and policy makers, ensuring the sustainable and equitable use of AI. This becomes even more urgent in the context of AI directly and strongly influencing all aspects of social life.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Ok	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is quite disjointed, lacking in connection and does not reflect the content of the manuscript well. Therefore, I recommend that the abstract be improved in the new version.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Overall, the manuscript does not meet the scientific requirements well. The sentences are written without flexibility, lack of meaning transitions as well as connections between sentences. The current writing is like a summary version. The content needs to be analyzed more deeply, for example, the current section: Professional concerns is quite simple, there is very little information provided.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The entire article is only referenced with 4 documents in order numbers 4, 7, 8, 9. Currently, the references are mostly used only for writing the introduction. There is even a paragraph with 8 references: [3] [4] [5] [6] [1] [7] [8] [9] With this manuscript, more references are needed, especially documents cited from academic journals. Academic titles should be removed from references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of discourse needs to be improved dramatically for the manuscript to be of quality.	
Optional/General comments	The article needs to be greatly improved in terms of providing and developing more in-depth in each content. References should be supplemented with publications in scholarly journals.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nguyen Hai Thanh
Department, University & Country	Ho Chi Minh National Academy of Politics, Vietnam