### Review Form3

| Book Name:               | Science and Technology: Developments and Applications   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_BPR_3925   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EXPERIMENTAL DEVICE TO EVALUATE MINERAL TRAPPING IN SANDSTONES AS A MEANS OF SUPERCRITICAL CO |
| Type of the Article      | Book chapter  |

#### PART 1: Comments

| Please write a few sentences regarding the   | Reviewer's comment         This manuscript provides a well-structured and scientifically sound analysis of CO2 sequestration in   | Author's Feedback<br>part in the manuscri<br>his/her feedback he |
|--|---|--|
| importance of this manuscript for the scientific<br>community. A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be<br>required for this part.   | geological formations, which is highly relevant to the global challenge of mitigating climate change. The research focuses on innovative methods such as mineral carbonation and CO2 injection, offering valuable insights into the long-term storage potential of CO2. Given the increasing interest in carbon capture and storage (CCS) technologies, this manuscript contributes significantly to the scientific community by advancing our understanding of effective geological CO2 storage solutions. Its findings will be essential for future research and practical applications in climate change mitigation strategies.  |  |
| Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)  | The title is clear, scientifically accurate, and effectively conveys the study's focus. However, I recommend changing "mineral trapping" to "mineral sequestration," as it is a more widely recognized and precise scientific term in this context.   |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do<br>you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some<br>points in this section? Please write your<br>suggestions here. | <ul> <li>The abstract is informative and highlights the study's relevance in addressing climate change through CO storage. However, there are minor grammatical and phrasing issues to improve readability and scientific clarity.</li> <li>1. Replace "CO, capture and storage" with "CO capture and storage (CCS)" for correct chemical notation.</li> <li>2. Correct "tightress of CO," to "tightness of CO ."</li> <li>3. Suggest revising "mineralization trap mechanism or mineral sequestration" to consistently use "mineral sequestration" for uniform terminology.</li> <li>4. Replace "store" with "storage site" for clarity.</li> <li>5. Rephrase "work" to "study" for a more scientific tone.</li> <li>6. Update "saturation by injection and in reactor" to "saturation by injection and reactor experiments" for smoother phrasing.</li> </ul> |  |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.  | The manuscript is scientifically accurate, well-written, and presents a clear methodology. The results and discussions are thorough, providing valuable insights into CO2 injection and mineralization in sandstone aquifers.   |  |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you<br>have suggestions of additional references, please<br>mention them in the review form.<br>-                       | <ul> <li>The references in the manuscript are generally sufficient and relevant. However, some older references could be replaced with more recent studies to better reflect the current research. For example:</li> <li>7. Broecker, W.S. (2000). <i>Climate change - CO2 arithmetic</i>. Science, 315(5817), 1371-1371. [DOI: 10.1126/science.1139585]</li> <li>8. Seifritz, W. (1990). <i>CO2 Disposal By Means of Silicates</i>. Nature, 345(6275), 486. [DOI: 10.1038/345486b0]</li> </ul>   |  |

### D2 (scCO2) STORAGE

# **k**(*Please correct the manuscript and highlight that ript. It is mandatory that authors should write ere*)

### Review Form3

|   | <ul> <li>These older references could be substituted with more recent studies like:</li> <li>Sigfússon, B., et al. (2010). <i>Mineral Sequestration of carbon dioxide in basalt: A pre-injection overview of the CarbFix project</i>. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 4(3), 537-545. [DOI: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2009.11.013]</li> <li>Valle, L.M., et al. (2018). <i>Effects of supercritical CO2 injection on sandstones wettability and capillary trapping</i>. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 78, 341-348. [DOI: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2018.09.005]</li> <li>This update will ensure that the manuscript includes more current references, enhancing its relevance to the field.</li> </ul> |  |
|---|--|--|
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The language and English quality of the article are generally good. However, there are some minor grammar mistakes. I recommend checking the manuscript with a grammar tool like Grammarly to ensure a higher level of accuracy and clarity.   |  |
| Optional/Generalcomments  | The manuscript provides a thorough and well-structured review of the topic. The content is relevant and addresses key aspects of the subject matter effectively. I recommend a final proofreading to enhance clarity and correct minor grammatical errors. Overall, it is a valuable contribution to the field.  |  |

#### <u>PART 2:</u>

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (i<br>and highlight that<br>authors should write |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

#### **Reviewer Details:**

| Name:                            | Usama Yaseeen                     |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Department, University & Country | Padjadjaran University, Indonesia |

## if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that his/her feedback here)