

### Review Form3

Book Name:	<a href="#">Achievements and Challenges of Medicine and Medical Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BPR_3936</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Ethical Conflicts in Nursing: Concept, Current Situation, Causes and Influencing factors</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Book chapter</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This Book's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/book-benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This reviewed manuscript provides a comprehensive analysis of ethical conflicts in nursing, identifying research gaps and highlighting the need for further investigation. It highlights the importance of understanding the complexities of ethical conflicts in nursing and their implications for nursing practice, education, and policy. The findings can inform the development of targeted interventions to mitigate conflicts and promote a healthier work environment for nurses. Ultimately, the manuscript contributes to the advancement of nursing science and positively impacts nurses' well-being and patient care quality.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title "Ethical Conflicts in Nursing: Concept, Current Situation, Causes and Influencing Factors" is quite comprehensive and informative. However, the alternative suggestion could be "Navigating Ethical Conflicts in Nursing Practice: Current Situation, Causes, and Influencing Factors in Chinese Hospitals."	

[Review Form3](#)

<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract of the article is not comprehensive enough. However, here is my addition.</p> <p>Abstract</p> <p><b>Background:</b> Ethical conflicts are a common psychological problem in nursing work, affecting nurses' mental health and the development of the nursing profession.</p> <p><b>Objective:</b> To understand the current situation and causes of ethical conflicts in nursing and analyze the main influencing factors.</p> <p><b>Methods:</b> A literature review of empirical papers published in English or Chinese in the past 11 years was conducted using Google Scholar, Pubmed, CNKI, and the Wanfang database.</p> <p><b>Results:</b> Thirty-eight articles were included. The findings indicate that ethical conflicts are a multi-dimensional and multi-category concept, affecting 60% of nurses. The most common causes of ethical conflicts were conflicts with physicians, inadequate staffing, and lack of autonomy.</p> <p><b>Conclusion:</b> The study highlights the need for nursing educators, administrators, and policymakers to address the causes of ethical conflicts and develop strategies to mitigate their impact. Future research should focus on developing more robust research tools and exploring the experiences of nurses from diverse backgrounds.</p>	
<p><b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically sound, providing a comprehensive overview of ethical conflicts in nursing. It is well-organized and structured, with clear headings and subheadings. The authors do not report on a specific research study but provide an overview of the existing literature on the topic. The results are a summary of the existing literature on ethical conflicts in nursing. The discussion and conclusion sections are well-written, providing a comprehensive overview of the implications of the literature review and recommendations for future research and practice. However, one limitation is that the manuscript does not provide a critical analysis of the existing literature. The authors primarily provide a descriptive overview of the literature without critically evaluating the methodological quality or limitations of the studies reviewed.</p> <p>Suggestions for improvement include providing a critical analysis of the existing literature, including an evaluation of the methodological quality and limitations of the studies reviewed, including a section on the implications of the literature review for nursing practice and policy, providing more specific recommendations for future research, and including a section on the limitations of the literature review, including potential biases and areas for further research.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p> <p>=</p>	<p>The review of references on ethical conflicts in nursing is comprehensive, covering a wide range of topics such as conceptual frameworks, empirical studies, and interventions. The majority of the references are from 2020 or later and come from various journals, such as Nursing Ethics, Journal of Nursing Scholarship, and Intensive and Critical Care Nursing. However, the review has limitations, such as a limited geographical scope, an overemphasis on quantitative studies, and a lack of classic references.</p> <p>To improve the review, it is suggested to include classic studies on ethical conflicts in nursing, such as those by Jameton (1984) or Wilkinson (1987), as well as qualitative or mixed-methods studies. International perspectives should be included to broaden the geographical scope and grey literature, such as reports from professional organizations or government agencies, should be considered. Some potential additional references include Jameton's 1984 book on nursing practice, Wilkinson's 1987 work on moral distress in nursing, the International Council of Nurses' Code of Ethics for Nurses, and the World Health Organization's 2019 strategy on human resources for health.</p>	

**Review Form3**

<p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The article discusses ethical conflicts in nursing, focusing on their causes, causes, and influencing factors. It uses specialized vocabulary related to nursing ethics and provides a comprehensive literature review. However, the article has some grammatical errors, awkward phrasings, and long sentences that affect its clarity and readability. The article could benefit from smoother transitions between paragraphs and sections and clearer connections between ideas. Additionally, some information is repeated throughout the article, which could be condensed or reorganized for better flow.</p> <p>Suggestions for improvement include editing and proofreading to eliminate grammatical errors, reorganizing and condensation to reduce redundancy, and using transitional phrases to connect ideas between paragraphs and sections. Specific language-related issues include inconsistent verb tenses, overuse of passive voice, and accurate word choice and terminology. To improve the article, it is recommended to maintain consistency in verb tenses, rephrase sentences to use active voice, and ensure accurate and consistent use of technical terms throughout.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b>comments</p>	<p>This article provides a comprehensive review of ethical conflicts in nursing, focusing on ICU nurses. It highlights the importance of a clear structure and technical vocabulary in nursing ethics. However, the article has some weaknesses, such as poor language quality, unclear transitions, and redundancy.</p> <p>To improve the article, it is suggested to edit and proofread, reorganize the content, and use transitional phrases to improve coherence. The article also highlights the prevalence of ethical conflicts among nurses, particularly in ICU settings. It also notes that current assessment tools for ethical conflicts in nursing are limited, primarily focusing on ICU nurses.</p> <p>The article also highlights the complex factors contributing to ethical conflicts, including demographic, psychological, environmental, and management factors. Future research directions include developing universal measurement tools for ethical conflicts across different settings and populations, conducting longitudinal studies to explore the development and impact of ethical conflicts over time, and conducting intervention studies to reduce ethical conflicts among nurses and improve nursing care quality.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b></p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p><b>Name:</b></p>	<p><b>Covenant Chigamezu Kinika</b></p>
<p><b>Department, University &amp; Country</b></p>	<p><b>University of Wolverhampton, United Kingdom</b></p>