

[Review Form3](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Science and Technology: Developments and Applications</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3938
Title of the Manuscript:	Technological Anxiety of Older Adults in Seeking Medical Attention: Concept, Current Status, and Influencing Factors
Type of the Article	Book chapter

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript explores a significant and under-researched issue—technological anxiety among older adults in seeking medical attention. Given the increasing integration of digital health technologies, this work is essential to the scientific community as it: 1. Highlights the critical barriers older adults face due to low digital literacy. 2. Systematically analyzes multi-level influencing factors (micro, meso, exo, and macro). 3. Provides direction for future research to address this gap and improve healthcare access for older adults.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes, the title is clear and accurately reflects the content of the manuscript.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is well-written and provides a good summary. However, I suggest adding: - A clearer mention of the multi-level analysis approach (individual, family, and societal factors). - A stronger conclusion highlighting the importance of addressing digital skills and providing targeted interventions for older adults.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically robust.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes, the references are recent and sufficient	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, the language quality is clear	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form3](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b><u>Reviewer's comment</u></b>	<b><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></b>
<b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b>	<b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	Menaga. A
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	St Josephs College of Engineering, India