

[Review Form 3](#)

Book Name:	<u>Integrated Approaches to Natural Resource and Environmental Sustainability</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3947
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated Approaches to Natural Resource and Environmental Sustainability
Type of the Article	Complete Book

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is very important to the scientific community because it provides an overall framework toward the solutions of the critical challenges in managing a resource and environment in a changing world. It offers actionable insights on how to promote resource efficiency, renewable energy transitions, and ecological conservation by an integrated technical, economic, and community-based approach. This adds to its value as a resource for both researchers and professionals, because it offers real-world applications and case studies. More importantly, it encourages interdisciplinarity that is much needed in tackling complex issues in the global arena such as climate change, depletion of resources, and degradation of the environment.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes Suitable then too, Sustainable Solutions to Natural Resource Management and the Environment. This would be as direct and simple title, towards an even more practical and green-consciousness focus that the paper addresses for a general readership.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract was well written, informative, giving a clear impression of where the manuscript took its concentration on integrated approaches to sustainability. However, it seems that slight variations could actually make it quite clearer as well as meaningful. Thus, providing a brief mentioning of diversity in case study used would give strength to what the manuscript offered in respect to practical work. This could be more output-oriented by drawing the potential outcomes, including resiliency or policy development; plus, it eliminates redundant phrases and underlines how the content specifically supports the target audience.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The submitted manuscript appears to be scientifically valid, based on the accompanying reviews of content. It is well-thought-out and has included a thorough exploration of sustainability principles, approaches monitored, and case studies followed in the real world. References to established scientific frameworks, interdisciplinarity, and current world challenges serve to further legitimize the credibility of this thesis. However, a stringent peer review or external validation may be warranted for absolute verification. there are particular portions,for me to analyze for factual accuracy	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes it is sufficient	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes,it is Suitable	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shahsoor Muhammad Kappiarathel
Department, University & Country	Bharatratna Dr Babasaheb Ambedkar Law College, India