

[Review Form3](#)

Book Name:	Disease and Health: Research Developments
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3949
Title of the Manuscript:	Infection Prevention Strategies in Cardiac Rehabilitation [1]—A Behavioral Intervention for Patients [2]
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	THE CHAPTER IS IMPORTANT AS IT EXPLAINS ABOUT DIFFERENT INFECTION PREVENTION TECHNIQUES DURING CARDIAC REHAB PROCESS. AS THE CHAPTER CONTAINS DATA SO A CLEAR PICTURE OF DIFFERENT METHODS CAN BE ANALYSED. ALSO, THE CHAPTER LENGTH AND STRUCTURE IS GOOD FOR READERS.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES, THE TITLE IS SUITABLE BUT JUSTIFY THE CITATION IN THE TITLE.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • REVISE TO IMPROVE WRITING AND GRAMMAR. • ADD MORE SPECIFIC DETAILS ABOUT STATISTICAL FINDINGS. • AVOID REPETITION. • CONSOLIDATE INFORMATION ABOUT WI AND WO PERCENTAGES. 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<p>YES IT IS CORRECT BUT SOME CHANGES ARE REQUIRED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Streamline the introduction by integrating statistics with a narrative that sets the context • Avoid redundancy by merging similar statements about the lack of studies in outpatient settings. • Clearly describe why sample size varied and how this was addressed analytically. • Provide justification for intervention timings and their sequence. • Define terms like "NA" and "NS" in the text or footnotes for reader clarity. • Focus on interpreting results with respect to broader literature. • Discuss limitations and their impact on findings. • Avoid introducing new concepts in the conclusion. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	UPDATE REFERENCES WITH MORE RECENT LITERATURE TO STRENGTHEN THE STUDY'S RELEVANCE AND KEEP UNIFORM REFERENCE FORMATTING.	

[Review Form3](#)

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Durgapada Sarkhel
Department, University & Country	Utkal University, India