

Review Form 3

Book Name:	Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3951
Title of the Manuscript:	The Arab Woman in Confrontation with The Forbidden Trinity
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper is important, but the way it is presented is likely a scramble in one plate so make us confused to read it. We want to see more how do the women challenge the difficulties in writing as a woman instead of merely describing the patriarchy oppression, which needs more evidence for each case.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Arab world is so huge, at least 22 countiers there, so better focus on the three countires, such as Egypt, Syria, and Iraq.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is also scramble in a plate. Better you start with the problem or relevance of your research, the objectives, theory, findings, conclusion and impentaion.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	For me, I am not convinced that the women writers faced discrimination in writing as I couldn't see the strong pieces evidence there. So, better you provide the strong pieces evidence then elaborate each of them. Importantly, not just explaining the discrimination, but how do the women challenge all the discrimination you mentioned through their writings and quote all the narratives clearly.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The references are not sufficient and recent as most of the references are old and more than ten years, so they are not relevant anymore with current periods.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, it is not suitable for scholarly communications, as some sentences are confusing. For example, there are too many pronouns in the paper, so confusing which one is refer to what or whom. Another example is there are some filling sentences which are not important or merely describing the facts without clear arguments.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I am struggling to read this paper as I could not find the pieces of evidence until page 19, so 19 pages are seemingly describing without clear arguments. So, better you start each paragraph with a clear topic sentence with your argument, then build the paragraph by supporting with pieces evidence and scholarly debates on that evidence, istead of merely describing things or problems without strong pieces evidence. Also, better you reconstruct and organize your paper based on three specific topics; for example, how the women challenge those patriarchy and tyranny based on three topics: politics, religion, and social taboo, then you can focus in building up your arguments; instead of mixing everything there: mixing various problems, mixing countries, and mixing the time periods.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hasnul Insani Djohar
Department, University & Country	Universitas Islam Negeri Syarif Hidayatullah, Indonesia