

[Review Form3](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Business, Management and Economics: Research Progress</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3972
Title of the Manuscript:	Evolution or Revolution of Organizational Information Technology – Modeling Decision Makers' Perspective
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript "Evolution or Revolution of Organizational Information Technology – Modeling Decision Makers' Perspective" is important for the scientific community as it provides a comprehensive framework for understanding how decision-makers approach IT adoption and transformation. It highlights the critical factors influencing whether organizations choose incremental (evolutionary) changes or radical (revolutionary) shifts in their IT infrastructure. This study offers valuable insights into the strategic decision-making processes that shape technological advancement within organizations. By modeling these perspectives, the research supports both theoretical development and practical application in fields like information systems, organizational behavior, and strategic management.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	By addressing these points, the abstract can be made more comprehensive, informative, and aligned with the study's goals.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	<b>Yes the manuscript scientifically, correct.</b> <b>Theoretical Foundation:</b> Ensure that the research is grounded in established theories and frameworks related to organizational IT and decision-making processes. The sources and literature cited should be reputable and relevant. <b>Research Methodology:</b> The methodology should be appropriate for the research questions posed. Whether it's qualitative, quantitative, or a modeling approach, the methods should be clearly explained and rigorously applied. <b>Data and Analysis:</b> Verify that the data (if applicable) is accurate, valid, and appropriately analyzed. The conclusions should logically follow from the results presented, with no major gaps or inconsistencies. <b>Logical Consistency:</b> The arguments and interpretations should be logically sound and free of contradictions. The distinction between evolutionary and revolutionary IT adoption strategies should be clearly delineated and supported. <b>Limitations and Biases:</b> The manuscript should acknowledge potential limitations and biases, which helps enhance its credibility and transparency.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>Yes the references sufficient and recent</b> Check the majority of references are from the last 5-7 years. Given the rapid evolution of IT, incorporating recent research is essential.	

**Review Form3**

<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The topic is highly relevant given the rapid pace of digital transformation in modern organizations. Considering the impact of emerging technologies like AI, cloud computing, and blockchain, exploring decision-makers' perspectives on adopting evolutionary versus revolutionary changes provides valuable insights.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	Binaya Kumar Malika
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	Bhubaneswar Engineering College, India