

[Review Form3](#)

Book Name:	Business, Management and Economics: Research Progress
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3972
Title of the Manuscript:	Evolution or Revolution of Organizational Information Technology – Modeling Decision Makers' Perspective
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper presents a new normative model that demonstrates that improving versions of decision support systems does not always enhance the effectiveness and productivity of decision-making processes. Accordingly, the paper's contribution to the academic community lies in proposing a normative model that enables the analysis of the transition and upgrading of decision support systems without compromising organizational productivity. Thus, the study's relevance lies in providing an understanding of the impacts of technological upgrades in Information Systems on organizational performance. Furthermore, the paper offers practical insights to minimize the risks of productivity loss during technological transition processes. Consequently, the paper advances research on the relationship between decision theory and the life cycles of information systems.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The paper's title aligns well with the study's focus and content.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is good; however, I suggest that it includes the objective, methodology, benefits and challenges (limitations), expected results, and the conclusion of the study. I found the study excellent, but the abstract does not convey the brilliance of the work.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The paper is scientifically significant for the field of Information Systems. The manuscript makes valuable contributions and includes a comprehensive literature review, referencing numerous other important studies that enrich this area of research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are comprehensive, encompassing both seminal works and contemporary literature.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is technical and well-suited for an academic audience.	
Optional/General comments	The article presents an innovative and relevant contribution to the field of Information Systems. By introducing a normative model to analyze the transition and upgrading of decision support systems, the study offers both theoretical and practical insights. The article stands out for its robust methodology, grounded in well-established theories such as bounded rationality and the information structure model, while also proposing new perspectives through the systematic informativeness ratio. I highly recommend the publication of this paper.	

[Review Form3](#)

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Enderson Luiz Pereira Junior
Department, University & Country	Fluminense Federal University, Brazil