

[Review Form 3](#)

Book Name:	Achievements and Challenges of Medicine and Medical Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3984
Title of the Manuscript:	Vertex Epidural Hematoma (VEDH): Review Article and Experience of One-Piece Central Craniotomy for Evacuation
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides useful information about the rare, often overlooked, condition of vertex extradural hematoma (VEDH). It introduces a new surgical approach that promises to improve the handling of these complex cases, departing from the current means of doing the same. Based on the literature review and case studies, the manuscript presents a discussion on VEDH management and proposes further research. This contribution is important to advancing our understanding and augmenting neurosurgical practices with implications for patient outcomes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. The title "Vertex Epidural Hematoma (VEDH): Review Article and Experience of One-Piece Central Craniotomy for Evacuation" is very detailed and informative.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is quite comprehensive in its current form.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are quite sufficient and up-to-date.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language quality is good enough to comprehend. However, there are quite grammatical errors, though negligible.	
Optional/General comments	It's an interesting subject, worthy of noticing and citing. However, revisions should be made as various kinds of errors are found, including referencing, spelling, and styling.	

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nikesh Lagun
Department, University & Country	St. Xavier's College, Nepal