# Review Form3

Γ	Book Name:	Disease and Health: Research Developments
	Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3993
	Title of the Manuscript:	Selecting the Safest Public Bathroom Stall in the Era of COVID-19
	Type of the Article	Book chapter

## PART 1: Comments

Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript or the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.         The scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.           Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)         The study "Selecting the Safest Public Bathroom Stall in the Era of COVID-19" is suitable as it clearly conveys the focus of the study on safety and decision-making in public restrooms during the pandemic. However, an alternative title, but not a substitute could be: "Evaluating Public Bathroom Stall Safety: Occupancy Patterns and Disease Transmission Risks During COVID-19," enhances clarity.           Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.         The article's abstract discusses public bathroom hygiene and stall occupancy during the COVID-19 pandemic. To improve its comprehensivenes, it should include key findings, methodological details, and implications for public health. Key findings should include: • occupancy rates for each stall, • provide context for the findings, and • state recommendations for restroom design.           Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.         The manuscript scientifically sound, based on empirical observations and data on stall occupancy rates in public bathrooms. It highlights the relationship between stall usage and disease transmission, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic. The methodology is appropniate, and the study references relevant iterature. However, the authors should clearly support their conclusions and discuss imitiations.           Is the manuscript scientifically quality of the article suitable for scholarly commu		Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(F part in the manuscript. his/her feedback here,
(If not please suggest an alternative title)       conveys the focus of the study on safety and decision-making in public restrooms during the pandémic. However, an alternative title, but not a substitute could be: "Evaluating Public Bathroom Stall Safety: Occupancy Patterns and Disease Transmission Risks During COVID-19," enhances clarity.         Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.       The article's abstract discusses public bathroom hygiene and stall occupancy during the COVID-19 details, and implications for public health. Key findings should include: • occupancy rates for each stall, • provide context for the findings, and • state recommendations for restroom design.         Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.       The manuscript is scientifically sound, based on empirical observations and data on stall occupancy rates in public bathrooms. It highlights the relationship between stall usage and disease transmission, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic. The methodology is appropriate, and the study references relevant literature. However, the authors should clearly support their conclusions and discuss limitations.         Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. 	importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be	evidence on the relationship between stall occupancy and disease transmission, highlighting the importance of understanding human behavior in public health and offering practical recommendations	, ,
you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.pandemic. To improve its comprehensiveness, it should include key findings, methodological details, and implications for public health. Key findings should include: • occupancy rates for each stall, • provide context for the findings, and • state recommendations for restroom design.Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.The manuscript is scientifically sound, based on empirical observations and data on stall occupancy rates in public bathrooms. It highlights the relationship between stall usage and disease transmission, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic. The methodology is appropriate, and the study references relevant literature. However, the authors should clearly support their conclusions and discuss limitations.Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.The manuscript's references need updating to include recent research on public health measures, hygiene practices, and COVID-19 impact on communal spaces, as well as recent studies on human behavior, cleaning protocols, and psychological factors </td <td></td> <td>conveys the focus of the study on safety and decision-making in public restrooms during the pandemic. However, an alternative title, but not a substitute could be: "Evaluating Public Bathroom Stall Safety: Occupancy Patterns and Disease Transmission Risks During</td> <td></td>		conveys the focus of the study on safety and decision-making in public restrooms during the pandemic. However, an alternative title, but not a substitute could be: "Evaluating Public Bathroom Stall Safety: Occupancy Patterns and Disease Transmission Risks During	
write here.rates in public bathrooms. It highlights the relationship between stall usage and disease transmission, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic. The methodology is appropriate, and the study references relevant literature. However, the authors should clearly support their conclusions and discuss limitations.Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.The manuscript's references need updating to include recent research on public health measures, hygiene practices, and COVID-19 impact on communal spaces, as well as recent studies on human behavior, cleaning protocols, and psychological factors.Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?The article's language quality is suitable for scholarly communication, with clear and concise language. It maintains a formal academic tone, establishing credibility and professionalism. Grammar and syntax are good, with no significant grammatical errors. Technical vocabulary is appropriate for the target audience, likely researchers and professionals. The article has a logical flow, aiding readabilityOptional/General commentsThe manuscript "Selecting the Safest Public Bathroom Stall in the Era of COVID-19" examines public bathroom usage and its impact on disease transmission. It highlights the trade-off between privacy and	you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your	<ul> <li>pandemic. To improve its comprehensiveness, it should include key findings, methodological details, and implications for public health.</li> <li>Key findings should include: <ul> <li>occupancy rates for each stall,</li> <li>provide context for the findings, and</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.       hygiene practices, and COVID-19 impact on communal spaces, as well as recent studies on human behavior, cleaning protocols, and psychological factors.         Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communication, with clear and concise language. It maintains a formal academic tone, establishing credibility and professionalism. Grammar and syntax are good, with no significant grammatical errors. Technical vocabulary is appropriate for the target audience, likely researchers and professionals. The article has a logical flow, aiding readability         Optional/General       The manuscript "Selecting the Safest Public Bathroom Stall in the Era of COVID-19" examines public bathroom usage and its impact on disease transmission. It highlights the trade-off between privacy and		rates in public bathrooms. It highlights the relationship between stall usage and disease transmission, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic. The methodology is appropriate, and the study references relevant literature. However, the authors should clearly support their conclusions and discuss	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?       It maintains a formal academic tone, establishing credibility and professionalism. Grammar and syntax are good, with no significant grammatical errors. Technical vocabulary is appropriate for the target audience, likely researchers and professionals. The article has a logical flow, aiding readability         Optional/General       The manuscript "Selecting the Safest Public Bathroom Stall in the Era of COVID-19" examines public bathroom usage and its impact on disease transmission. It highlights the trade-off between privacy and	have suggestions of additional references, please	hygiene practices, and COVID-19 impact on communal spaces, as well as recent studies on human	
bathroom usage and its impact on disease transmission. It highlights the trade-off between privacy and		It maintains a formal academic tone, establishing credibility and professionalism. Grammar and syntax are good, with no significant grammatical errors. Technical vocabulary is appropriate for the target	
	Optional/General comments	bathroom usage and its impact on disease transmission. It highlights the trade-off between privacy and	

# (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that ipt. It is mandatory that authors should write . ere)

## **Review Form3**

## <u>PART 2:</u>

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (in and highlight that p authors should write
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Liaqat Ali Khan
Department, University & Country	Saudi Arabia

### (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that te his/her feedback here)