

FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

| Type of Article : | Book chapter |
|--------------------------|--|
| Title of the Manuscript: | Pharmaceutical Pollution in Aquatic Environments: Environmental Impact and Bioremediation Technologies as a Solution |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_BPR_4035 |
| Book Name: | Geography, Earth Science and Environment: Research Highlights |

| PART 2: | | | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|--|
| FINAL EV | ALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any) | Authors' response to final evaluator's comments | | |
| Overall Ev | aluation: | | | |
| | | | | |
| The author | s have made significant revisions to the manuscript, addressing the majority of the concerns | | | |
| raised in th | e previous round. The improvements in the clarity and depth of the discussion, particularly | | | |
| regarding t | he bioremediation strategies, are notable. The manuscript is now more coherent and scientifically | | | |
| robust, and | the integration of newer references strengthens the overall impact of the work. | | | |
| | | | | |
| Specific C | omments: | | | |
| | | | | |
| 1. Cl | arity and Structure: | | | |
| | I ne revisions have improved the manuscript's readability, particularly in sections on the asures of phormassurficed pollution and the methodologics for bigramodiction. However, | | | |
| | sources of pharmaceulical pollution and the methodologies for bioremediation. However, | | | |
| | audience. In particular, the section on the specific bioremediation methods (mycoremediation | | | |
| | and microbial degradation) could benefit from clearer definitions of technical terms | | | |
| | • Suggestion : Simplify the explanation of terms like "lignin-degrading enzymes." and provide | | | |
| | additional context for readers unfamiliar with advanced bioremediation techniques. | | | |
| 2. Sc | ientific Rigor: | | | |
| | • The manuscript provides a solid overview of the environmental impacts of pharmaceutical | | | |
| | pollution and offers a clear discussion of the various bioremediation techniques. The | | | |
| | inclusion of newer studies on bioremediation methods such as mycoremediation is | | | |
| | appreciated. | | | |
| | • However, the manuscript could benefit from a deeper exploration of potential limitations or | | | |
| | challenges in implementing bioremediation on a large scale. The authors mention some | | | |
| | challenges, but a more thorough discussion of factors such as the scalability, cost, and time | | | |
| | Suggestion: Include a subsection discussing the challenges and limitations of large-scale | | | |
| | implementation of bioremediation, addressing potential burdles like environmental factors | | | |
| | the need for specialized microorganisms, or long-term sustainability | | | |
| 3. Lif | erature and References: | | | |
| | • The references have been updated appropriately, with a good balance of studies on both the | | | |
| | environmental impact of pharmaceutical pollution and bioremediation strategies. However, | | | |
| | there are still a few areas where additional recent studies (e.g., from 2023 or 2024) could be | | | |
| | incorporated to further support the discussion. | | | |







FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

| Suggestion: Consider adding more recent references to further support the advancements in bioremediation technologies, especially those related to microbial degradation of pharmaceuticals. Methodology: The methodology section has improved in clarity. The use of LC-MS for analyzing pharmaceutical residues is well-described, and the discussion of analytical techniques is appropriate. Suggestion: It would be beneficial to briefly mention any potential limitations of the analytical methods used in the studies cited, especially for large-scale application. Ethical and Environmental Considerations: The manuscript appropriately addresses the ethical considerations of pharmaceutical pollution and the importance of developing sustainable bioremediation methods. The discussion on regulatory concerns and the need for coordinated action is particularly relevant. Suggestion: A more explicit discussion of the potential health impacts of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in aquatic ecosystems could provide further context for the urgency of addressing pharmaceutical pollution. Final Recommendation: The manuscript has undergone significant improvement, and with minor revisions as outlined above, I selieve it is now ready for publication. The authors should focus on the clarity of certain technical terms, expand on the challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most current literature on the topic. Decision: Accept with Minor Revisions | | | |
|---|----------|------------|--|
| Methodology: The methodology section has improved in clarity. The use of LC-MS for analyzing pharmaceutical residues is well-described, and the discussion of analytical techniques is appropriate. Suggestion: It would be beneficial to briefly mention any potential limitations of the analytical methods used in the studies cited, especially for large-scale application. Ethical and Environmental Considerations: The manuscript appropriately addresses the ethical considerations of pharmaceutical pollution and the importance of developing sustainable bioremediation methods. The discussion on regulatory concerns and the need for coordinated action is particularly relevant. Suggestion: A more explicit discussion of the potential health impacts of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in aquatic ecosystems could provide further context for the urgency of addressing pharmaceutical pollution. Final Recommendation: The manuscript replication. The authors should focus on the clarity of certain technical terms, axpand on the challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most current literature on the topic. | | 0 | Suggestion : Consider adding more recent references to further support the advancements in bioremediation technologies, especially those related to microbial degradation of pharmaceuticals. |
| The methodology section has improved in clarity. The use of LC-MS for analyzing pharmaceutical residues is well-described, and the discussion of analytical techniques is appropriate. Suggestion: It would be beneficial to briefly mention any potential limitations of the analytical methods used in the studies cited, especially for large-scale application. Ethical and Environmental Considerations: The manuscript appropriately addresses the ethical considerations of pharmaceutical pollution and the importance of developing sustainable bioremediation methods. The discussion on regulatory concerns and the need for coordinated action is particularly relevant. Suggestion: A more explicit discussion of the potential health impacts of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in aquatic ecosystems could provide further context for the urgency of addressing pharmaceutical pollution. Final Recommendation: The manuscript appropriate improvement, and with minor revisions as outlined above, I believe it is now ready for publication. The authors should focus on the clarity of certain technical terms, axpand on the challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most current literature on the topic. Decision: Accept with Minor Revisions | 4 | Metho | pdology. |
| So the methodology social has improved in clarity. The use of LO-Motion analyzing pharmaceutical residues is well-described, and the discussion of analytical techniques is appropriate. Suggestion: It would be beneficial to briefly mention any potential limitations of the analytical methods used in the studies cited, especially for large-scale application. Ethical and Environmental Considerations: The manuscript appropriately addresses the ethical considerations of pharmaceutical pollution and the importance of developing sustainable bioremediation methods. The discussion on regulatory concerns and the need for coordinated action is particularly relevant. Suggestion: A more explicit discussion of the potential health impacts of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in aquatic ecosystems could provide further context for the urgency of addressing pharmaceutical pollution. Final Recommendation: The manuscript has undergone significant improvement, and with minor revisions as outlined above, I believe it is now ready for publication. The authors should focus on the clarity of certain technical terms, expand on the challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most current literature on the topic. Decision: Accept with Minor Revisions | | | The methodology section has improved in clarity. The use of LC-MS for analyzing |
| Suggestion: It would be beneficial to briefly mention any potential limitations of the analytical methods used in the studies cited, especially for large-scale application. Ethical and Environmental Considerations: The manuscript appropriately addresses the ethical considerations of pharmaceutical pollution and the importance of developing sustainable bioremediation methods. The discussion on regulatory concerns and the need for coordinated action is particularly relevant. Suggestion: A more explicit discussion of the potential health impacts of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in aquatic ecosystems could provide further context for the urgency of addressing pharmaceutical pollution. Final Recommendation: The manuscript has undergone significant improvement, and with minor revisions as outlined above, I believe it is now ready for publication. The authors should focus on the clarity of certain technical terms, expand on the challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most current literature on the topic. Decision: Accept with Minor Revisions | | 0 | pharmacoutical residues is well described, and the discussion of analytical techniques is |
| Suggestion: It would be beneficial to briefly mention any potential limitations of the analytical methods used in the studies cited, especially for large-scale application. Ethical and Environmental Considerations: The manuscript appropriately addresses the ethical considerations of pharmaceutical pollution and the importance of developing sustainable bioremediation methods. The discussion on regulatory concerns and the need for coordinated action is particularly relevant. Suggestion: A more explicit discussion of the potential health impacts of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in aquatic ecosystems could provide further context for the urgency of addressing pharmaceutical pollution. Final Recommendation: The manuscript has undergone significant improvement, and with minor revisions as outlined above, I believe it is now ready for publication. The authors should focus on the clarity of certain technical terms, expand on the challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most current literature on the topic. Decision: Accept with Minor Revisions | | | |
| Suggestion: It would be beheficiat to briefly mention any potential initiations of the analytical methods used in the studies cited, especially for large-scale application. Ethical and Environmental Considerations: The manuscript appropriately addresses the ethical considerations of pharmaceutical pollution and the importance of developing sustainable bioremediation methods. The discussion on regulatory concerns and the need for coordinated action is particularly relevant. Suggestion: A more explicit discussion of the potential health impacts of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in aquatic ecosystems could provide further context for the urgency of addressing pharmaceutical pollution. Final Recommendation: The manuscript has undergone significant improvement, and with minor revisions as outlined above, I believe it is now ready for publication. The authors should focus on the clarity of certain technical terms, axpand on the challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most current literature on the topic. Decision: Accept with Minor Revisions | | | appropriate. |
| S. Ethical and Environmental Considerations: The manuscript appropriately addresses the ethical considerations of pharmaceutical pollution and the importance of developing sustainable bioremediation methods. The discussion on regulatory concerns and the need for coordinated action is particularly relevant. Suggestion: A more explicit discussion of the potential health impacts of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in aquatic ecosystems could provide further context for the urgency of addressing pharmaceutical pollution. Final Recommendation: The manuscript has undergone significant improvement, and with minor revisions as outlined above, I believe it is now ready for publication. The authors should focus on the clarity of certain technical terms, expand on the challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most current literature on the topic. | | 0 | Suggestion: It would be beneficial to briefly mention any potential limitations of the |
| 5. Ethical and Environmental Considerations: The manuscript appropriately addresses the ethical considerations of pharmaceutical pollution and the importance of developing sustainable bioremediation methods. The discussion on regulatory concerns and the need for coordinated action is particularly relevant. Suggestion: A more explicit discussion of the potential health impacts of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in aquatic ecosystems could provide further context for the urgency of addressing pharmaceutical pollution. Final Recommendation: The manuscript has undergone significant improvement, and with minor revisions as outlined above, I believe it is now ready for publication. The authors should focus on the clarity of certain technical terms, expand on the challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most current literature on the topic. Decision: Accept with Minor Revisions Lettical and Environmental Considerations Interval and the importance of developing sustainable bioremediation methods. The authors should focus on the clarity of certain technical terms, expand on the challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most current literature on the topic. | _ | | analytical methods used in the studies cited, especially for large-scale application. |
| The manuscript appropriately addresses the ethical considerations of pharmaceutical pollution and the importance of developing sustainable bioremediation methods. The discussion on regulatory concerns and the need for coordinated action is particularly relevant. Suggestion: A more explicit discussion of the potential health impacts of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in aquatic ecosystems could provide further context for the urgency of addressing pharmaceutical pollution. Final Recommendation: The manuscript has undergone significant improvement, and with minor revisions as outlined above, I believe it is now ready for publication. The authors should focus on the clarity of certain technical terms, expand on the challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most current literature on the topic. Decision: Accept with Minor Revisions | 5. | Ethica | al and Environmental Considerations: |
| pollution and the importance of developing sustainable bioremediation methods. The discussion on regulatory concerns and the need for coordinated action is particularly relevant. Suggestion: A more explicit discussion of the potential health impacts of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in aquatic ecosystems could provide further context for the urgency of addressing pharmaceutical pollution. Final Recommendation: The manuscript has undergone significant improvement, and with minor revisions as outlined above, I believe it is now ready for publication. The authors should focus on the clarity of certain technical terms, expand on the challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most current literature on the topic. Decision: Accept with Minor Revisions | | 0 | The manuscript appropriately addresses the ethical considerations of pharmaceutical |
| discussion on regulatory concerns and the need for coordinated action is particularly relevant. Suggestion: A more explicit discussion of the potential health impacts of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in aquatic ecosystems could provide further context for the urgency of addressing pharmaceutical pollution. Final Recommendation: The manuscript has undergone significant improvement, and with minor revisions as outlined above, I believe it is now ready for publication. The authors should focus on the clarity of certain technical terms, expand on the challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most current literature on the topic. Decision: Accept with Minor Revisions | | | pollution and the importance of developing sustainable bioremediation methods. The |
| Suggestion: A more explicit discussion of the potential health impacts of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in aquatic ecosystems could provide further context for the urgency of addressing pharmaceutical pollution. Final Recommendation: The manuscript has undergone significant improvement, and with minor revisions as outlined above, I believe it is now ready for publication. The authors should focus on the clarity of certain technical terms, expand on the challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most current literature on the topic. Decision: Accept with Minor Revisions | | | discussion on regulatory concerns and the need for coordinated action is particularly |
| Suggestion: A more explicit discussion of the potential health impacts of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in aquatic ecosystems could provide further context for the urgency of addressing pharmaceutical pollution. Final Recommendation: The manuscript has undergone significant improvement, and with minor revisions as outlined above, I believe it is now ready for publication. The authors should focus on the clarity of certain technical terms, expand on the challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most current literature on the topic. Decision: Accept with Minor Revisions | | | relevant. |
| bacteria in aquatic ecosystems could provide further context for the urgency of addressing pharmaceutical pollution. Final Recommendation: The manuscript has undergone significant improvement, and with minor revisions as outlined above, I believe it is now ready for publication. The authors should focus on the clarity of certain technical terms, expand on the challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most current literature on the topic. Decision: Accept with Minor Revisions | | 0 | Suggestion: A more explicit discussion of the potential health impacts of antibiotic-resistant |
| pharmaceutical pollution. Final Recommendation: The manuscript has undergone significant improvement, and with minor revisions as outlined above, I pelieve it is now ready for publication. The authors should focus on the clarity of certain technical terms, expand on the challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most current literature on the topic. Decision: Accept with Minor Revisions | | | bacteria in aquatic ecosystems could provide further context for the urgency of addressing |
| Final Recommendation: The manuscript has undergone significant improvement, and with minor revisions as outlined above, I believe it is now ready for publication. The authors should focus on the clarity of certain technical terms, expand on the challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most current literature on the topic. Decision: Accept with Minor Revisions | | | pharmaceutical pollution. |
| Final Recommendation: The manuscript has undergone significant improvement, and with minor revisions as outlined above, I believe it is now ready for publication. The authors should focus on the clarity of certain technical terms, expand on the challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most current literature on the topic. Decision: Accept with Minor Revisions | | | |
| The manuscript has undergone significant improvement, and with minor revisions as outlined above, I believe it is now ready for publication. The authors should focus on the clarity of certain technical terms, expand on the challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most current literature on the topic. | Final F | Recomr | nendation: |
| The manuscript has undergone significant improvement, and with minor revisions as outlined above, I believe it is now ready for publication. The authors should focus on the clarity of certain technical terms, expand on the challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most current literature on the topic. | | | |
| believe it is now ready for publication. The authors should focus on the clarity of certain technical terms, expand on the challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most current literature on the topic. | The m | anuscrir | t has undergone significant improvement, and with minor revisions as outlined above. |
| expand on the challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most current literature on the topic. | holiova | ait is no | w ready for publication. The authors should focus on the clarity of certain technical terms |
| current literature on the topic. | ovnand | d on the | challenges of large-scale bioremediation, and ensure that the manuscript reflects the most |
| Decision: Accept with Minor Revisions | curron | t litoratu | re on the topic |
| Decision: Accept with Minor Revisions | curren | i neratu | |
| Decision: Accept with Minor Revisions | _ | | |
| | Decisi | on: Acc | cept with Minor Revisions |
| | | | |
| | | | |

PART 3: Objective Evaluation:

| Guideline | MARKS for this REVISED manuscript |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| Give OVERALL MARKS you want to give to this REVISED manuscript | |
| (Highest: 10 Lowest: 0) | |
| | |
| Guideline: | |
| Accept (8-10) | |
| Revision required: (4-8) | |
| Rejected: (0-4) | |

Reviewer Details:

| Name: | Sajid Abdullah |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Department, University & Country | University of Agriculture, Pakistan |

