

[Review Form3](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Current Research Progress in Physical Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4037
Title of the Manuscript:	Stochastic quantum inflation based on a single canonical scalar field: The linear self-interaction potential case
Type of the Article	Book chapter

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article gives a not-so-discrete discussions into the weakly interacting massive particles, but discrete in the commonly held beliefs in cosmology and the large-scale structure. The authors slightly touched down on the dilemma between non-pertubative theory and cosmological time determination, but without ample discussions nor coherent transitions between the sections.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Reletively suitable, however, I deem the non-pertubative element should be addressed in the title for better emphasis.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is explanatory, which is okay, but it would be better to adopt an analytic framework to better present the authors' perspectives from the research.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	I would rephrase the question with my answer that the manuscript is worthy of scientific discussions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references are empirically ample for the research.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form3](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yang I. Cao</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>China</b>