

[Review Form3](#)

Book Name:	Achievements and Challenges of Medicine and Medical Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4050
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of cannabis by young people and psychopathological disorders, in Fatick (Senegal)
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a pressing public health issue in Senegal: the increasing use of cannabis among young people and its associated mental health impacts. The findings are especially relevant for regions with limited resources and infrastructure for mental health care. The study contributes valuable data that could inform public health strategies, youth interventions, and future research in both Senegal and other similar contexts. Its insights into the socio-familial factors surrounding cannabis use also provide a richer understanding of the behavioral and clinical aspects of addiction.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate, reflecting the focus on both cannabis use and its link to psychopathological disorders in a specific regional context. No changes are necessary.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is informative but could be more concise. It currently includes some redundant details. For example, sentences about methodology and findings can be streamlined to highlight the key results and implications. Consider reducing wordiness to improve readability and impact.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound and aligns with the objectives stated in the introduction. However, there are areas where additional depth would be beneficial: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> More clarity on how socio-economic and educational factors were accounted for in the analysis. Greater emphasis on ethical considerations, particularly with the use of retrospective data. Discussion of potential biases due to the exclusion of incomplete files.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references are comprehensive and relevant but could be updated with more recent studies from 2020 onwards to reflect the evolving understanding of cannabis use and mental health.	

Review Form3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript requires significant editing to improve grammar, sentence structure, and overall readability. Examples include: Current: "The adolescence is the passage between the childhood and the adulthood." Improved: "Adolescence is the transitional phase between childhood and adulthood." I recommend a thorough language review by a professional editor to ensure the manuscript meets scholarly standards.</p>	
<p>Optional/Generalcomments</p>	<p>The tables are informative but need better integration into the narrative. Summarizing the data in the text would help readers understand the results without referring back to the tables constantly. Additionally, while the discussion section is rich in detail, it occasionally strays from the main focus, such as into general commentary on education. A sharper focus on the findings and their implications would enhance the manuscript. The manuscript is a promising contribution to public health literature but requires revisions to enhance its clarity, focus, and practical recommendations. Improvements in language and tighter integration of tables and discussion will strengthen its overall impact.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Adeyinka Ganiyat Ishola</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>University of Ibadan, Nigeria</p>