

[Review Form3](#)

Book Name:	Achievements and Challenges of Medicine and Medical Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4050
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of cannabis by young people and psychopathological disorders, in Fatick (Senegal)
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a significant public health issue, particularly the relationship between cannabis use and mental health disorders in young people. By focusing on a localized population in Fatick, Senegal, it provides valuable insights into socio-demographic and cultural factors that influence substance abuse. The findings are relevant for global discussions on drug use prevention, mental health care, and policy development, especially in under-researched regions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<u>Yes, the title is suitable as it accurately reflects the focus and content of the manuscript.</u>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is clear but could benefit from additional details about the methodology and the key findings. I suggest including: <u>A mention of the number of participants analyzed.</u> <u>Specific psychiatric conditions observed (e.g., acute psychosis, schizophrenia).</u>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. However, there are areas requiring clarification: <u>There is a lack of discussion on the limitations of the retrospective study design, such as recall bias.</u>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient, but some are outdated. I recommend including more recent studies, particularly those published in the last five years, to enhance the relevance of the findings.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality needs improvement. Grammatical errors, awkward phrasing, and inconsistent formatting hinder the manuscript's readability and professionalism. A thorough linguistic revision is suggested.	
Optional/General comments	The inclusion of case studies is commendable as it humanizes the data and makes the findings relatable. Recommendations could be expanded to include long-term strategies, such as public health campaigns or systemic policy changes. The manuscript would benefit from a comparative analysis with similar studies in other regions. The manuscript addresses a critical topic and offers valuable insights but requires significant revisions, particularly in updating references, improving language quality, and expanding recommendations.	

[Review Form3](#)

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	Mohd Kaleem Khan
<u>Department, University & Country</u>	J N Medical College, Aligarh Muslim University, India