

[Review Form3](#)

Book Name:	<b>STORY OF SEPSIS</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BPR_4052</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>STORY OF SEPSIS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Complete Book</b>

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This book is significant to the scientific and medical community. It presents a thorough analysis of sepsis, encompassing its historical origins, contemporary treatment protocols, and future directions. It imparts valuable insights for both novice and experienced medical practitioners by explaining the complex pathophysiology and management of sepsis. Additionally, the incorporation of pivotal clinical trials and prognostic biomarkers provides practical guidance that may enhance clinical outcomes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is missing, and it would be better to add it at the start of each chapter.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	-	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There is a need to add more references, as many claims are left uncited. The reference style is also not consistent throughout the book; extra lines are present, and the Numbering style is also not persistent in references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript generally maintains a professional and scholarly tone suitable for academic communication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Many major issues are present in this book; I request the writers to improve it.	

[Review Form3](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b><u>Reviewer's comment</u></b>	<b><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></b>
<b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b>	<b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>Ans Nadeem</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Pakistan</b>