Review Form3

Book Name:	STORY OF SEPSIS
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4052
Title of the Manuscript:	STORY OF SEPSIS
Type of the Article	Complete Book

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(F part in the manuscript his/her feedback here
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is describing the basic pathophysiology of sepsis. Also the new diagnostic markers used for sepsis. Sepsis screening methods described, also antimicrobials used during sepsis highlighted.	,
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, very accurate & descriptive	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/Generalcomments	Kindly highlight few points regarding neonatal sepsis, Explain about care bundles in sepsis. Also describe about markers of adverse outcome	

(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that ipt. It is mandatory that authors should write ere)

Review Form3

<u>PART 2:</u>

		Author's comment (if and highlight that p authors should write
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paramita Parida
Department, University & Country	IMS, SUM Hospital, SOA University, India

(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that te his/her feedback here)