Review Form3

Book Name:	Achievements and Challenges of Medicine and Medical Science	
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4055	
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenging Treatment of an Aortic Paravalvular Leak: How We Avoided Interference With Mechanical Valve Function?	
Type of the Article	Book chapter	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(F part in the manuscript his/her feedback here
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript highlights the significance of addressing complex cases of aortic paravalvular leak (APVL), a rare but severe complication following surgical valve replacement. It underscores the importance of transcatheter paravalvular leak closure (TPVLc) as a minimally invasive and life-saving alternative for patients at high surgical risk. By detailing procedural challenges and innovative solutions, such as the use of dedicated paravalvular leak devices and precise imaging-guided techniques, this case contributes valuable insights to the evolving field of structural heart interventions. The successful management of this challenging case reinforces the critical role of early diagnosis, personalized treatment strategies, and interdisciplinary collaboration in improving patient outcomes and advancing cardiovascular care.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/Generalcomments	No	

(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that ot. It is mandatory that authors should write					
re)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				

Review Form3

PART 2:

		Author's comment(if ag highlight that part in the write his/her feedback he
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shubham Singh
Department, University & Country	School of Pharmacy, Rai University, India

if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and he manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should k here)