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|  | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | The manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it delves into the comprehensive understanding of Adult-Onset Still's Disease (AOSD), a rare systemic inflammatory disorder. By providing detailed insights into its epidemiology, etiology, clinical manifestations, and diagnostic criteria, the study aids in improving diagnostic accuracy and timely recognition of the disease. The outlined treatment protocols and management strategies, including the use of biologics and DMARDs, offer valuable guidance for optimizing patient outcomes. Furthermore, the manuscript underscores the necessity of multidisciplinary care and continued research, paving the way for enhanced therapeutic options and awareness among healthcare professionals. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | If the intent is to highlight the detailed exploration of pathophysiology, diagnosis, and treatment strategies, a more precise title could be:**"Comprehensive Insights into Adult-Onset Still's Disease: Pathophysiology, Diagnosis, and Management"** |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Suggestions for Improvement:1. **Add a specific objective**: Clearly state the aim of the paper in a sentence (e.g., "This review aims to provide an updated understanding of AOSD’s clinical and therapeutic aspects").
2. **Summarize diagnostic criteria**: Mention pivotal aspects like the Yamaguchi criteria for diagnosis.
3. **Emphasize treatment protocols**: Briefly outline key treatment strategies, including the use of biologics and DMARDs.
4. **Conclude with significance**: Highlight the importance of multidisciplinary care and ongoing research for better patient outcomes.
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| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.**  | The manuscript is scientifically correct and provides valuable insights into AOSD. Minor updates or refinements as suggested above can further enhance its quality and reliability  |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.****-** | Suggestions for Additional References:1. **Recent Advances in Treatment**: Papers on the latest trials for biologics (e.g., IL-1, IL-6 inhibitors) or novel agents like JAK inhibitors from 2022–2023.
	* Example: "Advances in IL-1 Targeted Therapies for AOSD" (recent clinical trial data).
2. **Guidelines and Consensus Statements**: Updated AOSD management guidelines, if available, from rheumatology associations.
	* Example: Recent ACR or EULAR recommendations on systemic inflammatory diseases.
3. **Global or Regional Perspectives**: Studies or reviews on AOSD prevalence and management in underrepresented populations or geographic areas.
	* Example: "Adult-Onset Still’s Disease: Perspectives from Asia and the Middle East."
 |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? |  Conduct a final proofreading or language editing by a professional editor to ensure precision and consistency. Consider using a tool like Grammarly or engaging a language editing service often recommended by journals to refine the text further. Aim for brevity while preserving meaning to align with best practices in scholarly writing. |  |
| Optional/General comments | The manuscript is well-constructed and addresses a significant medical condition comprehensively. Minor refinements in language, inclusion of missing elements (abstract, visuals), and adherence to ethical and formatting standards are recommended to prepare the manuscript for submission. |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **PART 2:**  |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?**  | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Reviewer Details:** |
| Name: | **Varagani Srinivasarao** |
| Department, University & Country | **Viswabharathi Super Speciality Hospital, India** |