

[Review Form3](#)

Book Name:	Current Research Progress in Physical Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4090
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLORING THE CONFINEMENT REGIME IN SPHERICAL ZnO , CdS, and CdSe COLLOIDAL (STAND ALONE) QUANTUM DOTS
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it provides a detailed theoretical and computational analysis of quantum confinement regimes in ZnO, CdS, and CdSe quantum dots. By elucidating the size-dependent optical and electronic properties, it offers valuable insights into the design and optimization of quantum dots for advanced optoelectronic applications such as light-emitting diodes and transistors. Furthermore, the work establishes clear distinctions between weak, intermediate, and strong confinement regimes, serving as a crucial reference for both researchers and engineers working on nanomaterials. Its findings contribute to the broader understanding of material-dependent quantum behaviors, paving the way for innovations in nanotechnology and semiconductor-based devices.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title, "Exploring the Confinement Regime in Spherical ZnO, CdS, and CdSe Colloidal (Stand Alone) Quantum Dots," is informative but could be refined for better clarity and appeal. Suggested Revisions: "Understanding Quantum Confinement Regimes in ZnO, CdS, and CdSe Colloidal Quantum Dots" "Theoretical Insights into Confinement Regimes of ZnO, CdS, and CdSe Colloidal Quantum Dots" "Exploring Size-Dependent Confinement Effects in ZnO, CdS, and CdSe Quantum Dots"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but could benefit from a few adjustments to enhance clarity and impact. It provides a good overview of the focus (quantum confinement effects), materials studied (ZnO, CdS, CdSe), and potential applications (field-effect transistors and optoelectronics). However, the following suggestions can improve it further: Suggestions for Improvement: 1. Include Specific Results: Add key findings, such as numerical ranges for confinement regimes or specific insights about the transitions between weak, intermediate, and strong confinement. 2. Highlight Novelty: Briefly mention what distinguishes this study from previous work. 3. Remove Redundancy: Phrases like "Quantum confinement effects dictate optical and electronic behaviors" could be condensed to avoid repetition. Add Methodology Details: Mention that the study involves computational simulations and theoretical analysis to add depth.	
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound and provides detailed explanations of quantum confinement phenomena. However: 1. Mathematical Derivations: The equations and theoretical models appear correct but should be cross-verified for consistent variable definitions. Results Validity: The numerical results (e.g., confinement ranges and energies) align well with established theoretical principles but could benefit from experimental comparisons for validation.	

[Review Form3](#)

<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>=</p>	<p>1. Sufficiency: The manuscript includes a strong foundation of references (both theoretical and applied), with citations spanning from foundational works to recent studies.</p> <p>2. Recency: While there are a few recent citations (2020–2024), adding more contemporary references, especially for ZnO, CdS, and CdSe applications, would enhance the manuscript's relevance.</p> <p>Diversity: Include additional papers from leading journals in nanotechnology or optoelectronics to broaden the context.</p>	
--	---	--

[Review Form3](#)

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Suitability for Scholarly Communication: The language is mostly clear and technical, suitable for academic audiences. However:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minor Grammar Issues: Instances like "Quantum dots have garnered significant attention due to its..." (should be "their") need correction. • Sentence Structure: Some sentences are long and could be simplified for better readability. <p>2. Suggestions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proofread to address minor errors (e.g., "Quantum dots" should be "Quantum dots"). <p>Use consistent terminology (e.g., "Bohr radius" vs. "exciton Bohr radius").</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>General Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clarity of Objectives: The objective of exploring quantum confinement regimes is clear, but the introduction could benefit from a sharper problem statement. 2. Consistency in Terminology: Terms like "confinement energy," "Bohr radius," and "quantum dots" are used throughout, but a glossary or consistent explanation for non-experts would help readability. 3. Figures: Figures 1 and 2 are helpful but lack descriptive captions. Including context for each figure will enhance their utility. 4. Mathematical Representations: Equations are represented correctly, but some variables lack detailed explanation, such as m^*_e and ϵ_{rc}. Providing a key or legend would improve understanding. 5. References: Citations are adequate, but recent works (2020–2024) should be expanded for a more up-to-date perspective. 6. Abstract: The abstract effectively summarizes the work but could highlight specific numerical results or key findings for better impact. 7. Conclusion: It is concise but could better discuss the practical implications of findings in device optimization. 8. Language: Minor grammatical issues, such as "Quantum dots have garnered significant attention due to its..." (should be "their"). 9. Figures and Graphs: Adding diagrams for experimental setup or computational modeling would improve comprehension. 10. Literature Context: The introduction discusses the state of the art but could elaborate on how this work builds on or diverges from prior studies. <p>Detailed Section Comments</p> <p>Introduction</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 11. Background Context: Discuss applications of ZnO, CdS, and CdSe in more detail, beyond general optoelectronics, e.g., specific device examples. 12. Terminology Introduction: The term "quantum dots" is well-introduced, but "quantum confinement regimes" needs more context upfront. 	

[Review Form3](#)

	<p>13. Motivation: The practical motivation for studying these confinement regimes could be better emphasized.</p> <p>14. Structure: Mentioning the structure of the paper (e.g., "This paper is organized as follows...") would improve navigation.</p> <p>Methods</p> <p>15. Computational Details: The computational approach is not well-detailed. Specify software, computational methods, and assumptions.</p> <p>16. Equation Derivation: Equation (1) is critical but lacks an explanation of its physical meaning.</p> <p>17. Parameters Used: The material parameters listed are thorough but would benefit from a tabular presentation for easier reading.</p> <p>18. Experimental Techniques: While colloidal synthesis is mentioned, no experimental validation or replication methodology is described.</p> <p>Results</p> <p>19. Data Presentation: The results are comprehensive but dense. Summarizing ranges of confinement regimes in a table would improve clarity.</p> <p>20. Graphs: Adding plots to visualize confinement energy vs. size would significantly enhance understanding.</p> <p>21. Quantitative Discussion: Results for ZnO, CdS, and CdSe are mentioned, but their physical implications need more discussion.</p> <p>22. Comparisons: Comparisons with previous literature are minimal. Highlight similarities or differences explicitly.</p> <p>Discussion</p> <p>23. Physical Interpretation: Discuss why certain materials exhibit stronger confinement than others in more detail.</p> <p>24. Applications: Connect findings explicitly to applications like transistors or lasers.</p> <p>25. Weak Points: Address potential limitations, such as the validity of assumptions in theoretical models.</p> <p>26. Future Work: Suggest more specific directions for further research, such as testing with other semiconductors.</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>27. Impact Statement: Elaborate on how understanding confinement regimes advances quantum dot technology.</p>	
--	---	--

[Review Form3](#)

	<p>28. Generalization: Discuss whether findings are applicable to other types of quantum dots.</p> <p>Figures and Captions</p> <p>29. Figure 1: Add a caption that explains the significance of the size-sorted quantum dots.</p> <p>30. Figure 2: Captions should explain what energy levels represent and why size affects them.</p> <p>Stylistic Comments</p> <p>31. Sentence Structure: Some sentences are too long, making them difficult to follow. Break them up for clarity.</p> <p>32. Grammar: Fix minor grammatical errors throughout the manuscript.</p> <p>33. Formatting: Equations should have consistent formatting, with clear numbering and references in the text.</p> <p>Specific Comments on Equations</p> <p>34. Equation (1): Clarify whether R is radius or diameter for consistency.</p> <p>35. Equation (10): Explain the physical meaning of the equivalence between Bohr radius and de Broglie wavelength.</p> <p>36. Equation (12): Define the constant used in the equation explicitly.</p> <p>Reference Comments</p> <p>37. Key Sources: Add recent reviews on quantum dots from 2020 onwards.</p> <p>38. Citation Style: Ensure consistency in citation formatting (e.g., author initials placement).</p> <p>39. Specific Gaps: Missing citations for practical applications of quantum dots in transistors.</p> <p>Formatting Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Section Titles: Standardize capitalization (e.g., "Results" vs. "Results and Discussions").2. Text Alignment: Ensure consistent alignment of text, especially equations and lists.3. Tables: Adding a table summarizing confinement parameters for all materials would enhance readability.4. Bullet Points: Use bullets or numbered lists in sections like "Determinants of Quantum Confinement." <p>Recommendations for Improvement</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. Include Experimental Validation: If possible, complement simulations with experimental data.	
--	---	--

[Review Form3](#)

	<p>6. Simplify: Remove overly technical jargon where possible, or include definitions.</p> <p>7. Supplementary Material: Add supplementary data, such as additional plots or computational details, in appendices.</p> <p>Minor Errors</p> <p>8. Typographical Errors: Correct minor typos, e.g., “Quantum dotss” to “Quantum dots.”</p> <p>9. Formatting Errors: Ensure consistent font sizes and styles, especially in equations.</p> <p>10. References: Verify URL citations for accuracy and update them where necessary.</p> <p>General Questions</p> <p>1. Quantum Confinement: How does the exciton Bohr radius influence the transition between weak, intermediate, and strong confinement regimes?</p> <p>2. Material Dependency: Why do different materials like ZnO, CdS, and CdSe exhibit varying ranges of confinement regimes?</p> <p>3. Applications: How can the findings on quantum confinement regimes be directly applied to improve the performance of optoelectronic devices?</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p>1. Quantum Dots: What are the primary differences between bulk semiconductors and quantum dots in terms of electronic and optical properties?</p> <p>2. Fabrication Methods: How does the choice of fabrication method (e.g., colloidal synthesis vs. epitaxy) impact the properties of quantum dots?</p> <p>Methods</p> <p>3. Equations: How do the assumptions behind Equation (1) influence the accuracy of confinement energy calculations?</p> <p>4. DeBroglie Wavelength: Why is the de Broglie wavelength equivalent to the exciton Bohr radius in quantum confinement theory?</p> <p>5. Computational Approach: What numerical techniques could be used to refine the theoretical analysis of confinement regimes?</p> <p>Results</p> <p>6. Size Ranges: How do the calculated ranges for quantum confinement regimes in ZnO, CdS, and CdSe compare with experimental observations?</p> <p>7. Energy Gaps: What are the implications of confinement-induced changes in energy gaps for the practical design of quantum dot-based devices?</p>	
--	--	--

[Review Form3](#)

	<p>8. MaterialParameters: How sensitive are the confinement energy calculations to the dielectric constant and effective mass values used?</p> <p>Discussion</p> <p>9. Physical Interpretation: What physical factors contribute to the stronger confinement effects in ZnO compared to CdSe?</p> <p>10. Practical Use: How do the results guide the optimization of quantum dot size for specific applications like transistors or LEDs?</p> <p>11. Transition Points: Why are the transitions between confinement regimes critical for practical device applications?</p> <p>Figures and Data</p> <p>12. Graphs: How could additional graphs, such as confinement energy vs. size, help validate the theoretical findings?</p> <p>13. Visualization: What insights can be drawn from Figure 1 about size-dependent optical properties of quantum dots?</p> <p>Advanced Topics</p> <p>1. Quantum Mechanics: How does the concept of wavefunction confinement relate to the discrete energy levels in quantum dots?</p> <p>2. Heterostructures: How would heterostructure quantum dots (e.g., core-shell designs) modify the confinement regimes described?</p> <p>3. Temperature Effects: How might temperature variations affect the confinement regimes and calculated parameters?</p> <p>Future Work</p> <p>4. Material Exploration: How might the confinement regimes differ for other semiconductors like silicon or gallium arsenide?</p> <p>5. Experimental Validation: What experimental techniques would be most effective in verifying the theoretical findings presented?</p> <p>6. Multi-Exciton Systems: How do multi-exciton effects influence the confinement energy in quantum dots?</p>	
--	---	--

[Review Form3](#)

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. Sundaravel
Department, University & Country	Kalasalingam Academy of Research and Education, India