

[Review Form3](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Achievements and Challenges of Medicine and Medical Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4098
Title of the Manuscript:	Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) used in the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) based on specific genetic alterations
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<p>Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) are among the most effective treatment options for non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), offering significant advantages over traditional chemotherapy. A review of the current TKIs used in NSCLC treatment is crucial for understanding the available therapeutic options and their applications. An article detailing a comprehensive list of all TKIs approved for this condition, along with their molecular targets, mechanisms of action, and clinical uses, would provide valuable insights for clinicians and researchers.</p> <p>It is important to note that while TKIs represent a powerful tool in NSCLC management, the number of TKIs available for specific genetic mutations and alterations is still limited, highlighting the need for ongoing research and development in this area.</p>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> :	<b>Yes</b>	

[Review Form3](#)

<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I believe the abstract should include conclusions, as this is a significant revision.  Additionally, I would like to ask the authors to add information about the effectiveness of each drug in this category and specify which line of therapy each one belongs to, but I do not consider this revision mandatory.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kateryna Maliarchuk</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Cancer Institute, Ukraine</b>