Review Form 3

Book Name:	Geography, Earth Science and Environment: Research Highlights
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BPR_4116**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Study the Properties of Structure of Woody Plant Communities on Mudflats to Researching the Structure of Species to the Coast and Dykes West Sea Zone Protection: As Case Study in Sea West of Kien Giang Province**
Type of the Article	**Book chapter**

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**Reader can understand the status of woody plant structure across sub-regions and give suggestion. Based on the result, everybody can suggest for conservation purpose. We can simply understand the families and woody species dominant and being extnicted from the region.**	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is better if "**Properties of Woody Plant Communities Structure on Mudflats to Researching the Structure of Species to the Coast and Dykes West Sea Zone Protection: As Case Study in Sea West of Kien Giang Province**".	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**It is better if the main point of the result of each parameters is added to it.**	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	**Yes, but It is if the result part is organized as table(result) and discussion (Under result)**	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	**They are sufficient for this manuscript however,some are not recent. It is better to dig out the recent one as much as possible.**	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	**It is understandable but some preposition are left. Difficult to understand some sencences. Better to revise to improve the grammar.**	
Optional/General comments	It is better to revise the conlusion the clarify and conlude the concept.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** ***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)***
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	***(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)***	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Takele Wondimu Hika**
Department, University & Country	**Wollega University, Ethiopia**