

[Review Form 3](#)

Book Name:	Geography, Earth Science and Environment: Research Highlights
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4126
Title of the Manuscript:	Dynamic and Physical Processes Associated with Orographic Precipitation in a Conditionally Unstable, Low-CAPE and High-Speed Wind
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Dynamic and Physical Processes Associated with Orographic Precipitation in a Conditionally Unstable, Low-CAPE and High-Speed Wind has been discussed by this study paper. I believe that this paper plays a great role to help the future meteorologist. It will also help the atmospheric model community for their research work advance. Lastly, future scholars will find this paper to be of great use.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	This title is suitable and research demandable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No need of any addition or deletion. The abstract is excellent.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes; all are appropriate. I think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. Similar study has not been done. Therefore, the study is worthy to be published.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, sufficient references are already mentioned in the paper.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Moderate; Grammar level is poor.	
Optional/General comments	This paper is well organized and followed the manuscript guidelines of the journal to a large extent. The introduction section is good and shows the importance of the study. Outcomes of the study are consistent with the findings. The approach used is praiseworthy. In my opinion, it should be published with a major grammatical revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gazi Mamunar Rashid
Department, University & Country	Phultala Mozam Maholder College, Bangladesh