

[Review Form3](#)

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Book Name: | Achievements and Challenges of Medicine and Medical Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_BPR_4157 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Inverted flap technique with air tamponade and one day face down positioning for posttraumatic macular hole surgery in a young male patient in sub-Saharan Africa |
| Type of the Article | Book Chapter |

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This manuscript is important for the scientific community because it describes a clinical treatment technique for full thickness macular hole. This treatment option described here gave a good visual outcome in the index patient, although there may be other factors (example visual acuity before surgery, duration of macular hole) that also may have contributed to the good visual outcome. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes, the title is suitable but the authors should add "case report" at the end. | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract is comprehensive. However, it will be good for the authors to mention the type of training the patient was undergoing when the blunt trauma occurred. This will help the readers know the type and severity of the impact to the eye. It was also not mentioned in the body of the manuscript. | |
| Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here. | Yes, it is. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. = | Yes. | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes. | |
| Optional/General comments | In the keywords, macular hole was repeated. I feel it would be better to write these as the keywords: Posttraumatic full-thickness macular hole, Inverted flap technique, Air tamponade, Sub-saharan Africa. The authors should adjust this accordingly. It will be good to mention the time frame from the time of trauma to when surgery was finally done. Patient presented at 7 months after the trauma but it was not clearly stated if surgery was done immediately. This is because duration of macular hole may affect the visual outcome. The longer the duration, the poorer the visual outcome may be. | |

[Review Form3](#)

PART 2:

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| | <u>Reviewer's comment</u> | <u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u> |
| <u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u> | <u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|---|---|
| Name: | Eze Gloria Chizoba |
| Department, University & Country | College of Medicine, Enugu State University of Science and Technology, Nigeria |