

[Review Form3](#)

Book Name:	Disease and Health: Research Developments
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4163
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbiome-Based Therapeutics: The Future of Chronic Disease Management
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is highly relevant to the scientific community, especially to researchers and practitioners in microbiology, medicine, and pharmacology. It explores the growing field of microbiome-based therapeutics, offering novel insights into the microbiome's role in chronic disease management. The review emphasizes the therapeutic potential of microbiome modulation in various chronic diseases, including gastrointestinal, metabolic, and neurological disorders. Given the increasing awareness of the microbiome's impact on health, this manuscript will help propel research and clinical approaches aimed at restoring microbiome balance to treat and manage these diseases.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive Could've mention key therapeutic strategies in a concise manner.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically accurate and well-supported by relevant research. The descriptions of microbiome-related chronic diseases and the therapeutic mechanisms are consistent with current scientific understanding. The therapeutic approaches (additive, subtractive, and modulatory therapies) are described in detail, and relevant studies and clinical trials are cited appropriately. No major scientific inaccuracies were found.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient enough	

Review Form3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is suitable for scholarly communication Technical terms are used appropriately Minor revisions in sentence structure that could enhance readability.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B Vignesh Kanna
Department, University & Country	Shri Sathya Sai Medical College and Research Institute, Sri Balaji Vidyapeeth, India