Review Form3

Book Name:	Pharmaceutical Science: New Insights and Developments
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4174
Title of the Manuscript:	Capsule Dosage Forms Containing Natural Antioxidant Microcapsules of Cantigi Extract
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The use of medicinal plants is currently becoming more popular. One of the major challenge of the use medicinal plants is stardardization or validation. The authors have tried to address some these concerns in this manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	This is suggested "CapsuleDosageFormsContainingNaturalAntioxidant Microcapsules of CantigiLeaf Extract". This is because extracts can be obtained from other parts of the plant.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive except for these minor additions in bold writing: Line 11- Cantigileaf extract Line 12- Threemicrocapsuleformulations(F1,F2,andF3) of the leaf exract were made by Line 15 and colloidalsilicon dioxide were formulated. Line 21cantigileaf extract Line 22- Cantigileaf extract	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Information in this manuscript is okay except for procedure for extract release that was omitted.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References is sufficient but athors must comply with Journal's reference style.	

Created by: DR Checked by: PMApproved by: MBM Version: 3 (05-12-2024)

Review Form3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	Line 27 & 28- it will be instructive to add that the "natural ingredients" are active. That is natural active ingredients This is because drug dosage forms comprises of other ingredients that are obtained from natural sources.	
	Line 30- the reference (Kurek et al., 2022) should be written as (Kurek et al., 2022). The 'et al' is usually italicized. This should be corrected throughout the manuscript.	
	Line 32- all scientific names should be in italics eg. Vacciniumvaringiaefolium should be 'Vacciniumvaringiaefolium' also line 34- Vaccinium mystillus. This should be corrected throughout the manuscript.	
	Line 40usingGC-MSmethod revealed that cantigileave scontain	
	Line 64- reprase this statement. "Inotherwords,polymers willlayeractive substances"	
	Line 84cantigileaf extract and	
	Line 93- method of collection of the leaves as well as method of drying of the leaves are very important steps in standardization of herbal medicines. This should be reported in the method.	
	Line 104- delete the phrase 'the below' and insert 'these'	
	Line 119& 120- replace the word 'each' to 'respectively'	
	Line 147 to line 169-a description of procedure/method of cantigi leaf extract release that produced these data is required in the method section	
	Author(s) should discuss result making reference or comparison with previous works	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gideon Owoicho Okpanachi
Department, University & Country	Gombe State University, Nigeria

Created by: DR Checked by: PMApproved by: MBM Version: 3 (05-12-2024)