

[Review Form3](#)

Book Name:	New Advances in Business, Management and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4181
Title of the Manuscript:	HOUSEHOLDS' CONTRIBUTION TO INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT IN COMMUNITIES OF ONDO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant as it explores the role of households in community-driven infrastructure development in Ondo State, Nigeria. It provides valuable insights into how local communities contribute to infrastructure projects, which is crucial for understanding grassroots development in resource-constrained settings. The study highlights the importance of transparency, accountability, and community participation, offering practical recommendations for policymakers and international organizations. It contributes to the broader discourse on sustainable development and community empowerment, particularly in developing nations.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable as it clearly reflects the focus of the study on households' contributions to infrastructure development in Ondo State, Nigeria. However, a more specific title could be: "Households' Role in Community-Driven Infrastructure Development: A Case Study of Ondo State, Nigeria."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but could be improved by briefly mentioning the key findings related to the types of infrastructure prioritized by households (e.g., water, electricity) and the challenges faced in funding and maintaining these projects. Additionally, the abstract could highlight the methodological approach more explicitly.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically sound. It employs a structured methodology, including surveys and descriptive statistics, to analyze household contributions to infrastructure development. The findings are well-supported by data and align with the literature on community-driven development.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references are sufficient and include a mix of foundational and recent works but references are old, please use references between 2018-2024. However, adding more recent studies (post-2018) on community-driven development and infrastructure in Sub-Saharan Africa could strengthen the literature review. For example: - Mansuri, G., & Rao, V. (2013). Localizing Development: Does Participation Work? World Bank. - World Bank (2020). Community-Driven Development: Approaches and Impacts.	

Review Form3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is generally suitable for scholarly communication, but there are minor grammatical errors and awkward phrasing that could be improved for clarity. A thorough proofreading or professional editing would enhance readability.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript has the following issues, which I will outline in general terms:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract Structure: The abstract should include a brief introduction, objectives, methodology, key findings, and conclusions. This format ensures clarity and provides a comprehensive overview of the study. 2. Outdated References: The references used are quite old, which is unacceptable in scholarly work. It is essential to include recent and up-to-date sources to ensure the research is relevant and aligned with current knowledge. 3. Research Gap and Problem Statement: The research gap and problem statement should be concise and articulated. This section should briefly highlight what is missing in the existing literature and why this study is necessary. 4. Abbreviations: All abbreviations should be explained the first time they are used in the text. This is a standard practice to ensure readers understand the terminology. 5. Lack of Visual Aids: The manuscript lacks figures, charts, and graphs, which are crucial for visualizing data and supporting the findings. Including these elements will enhance the clarity and impact of the research. <p>By addressing these issues, the manuscript will be more structured, up-to-date, and visually engaging, making it suitable for publication in a scholarly journal.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Fayaz Gul Mazloun Yar</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Nangarhar University, Afghanistan</p>