

[Review Form3](#)

Book Name:	Disease and Health: Research Developments
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4182
Title of the Manuscript:	Different modes of treatment and complications associated with non-traumatic gastrointestinal perforation
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The treatment and complications of non-traumatic gastrointestinal perforation is an important as these account for up to 50% of admissions to the general surgical ward. The prompt diagnosis and treatment of this condition is important as delay may lead to increased mortality and morbidity. The treatment is mainly surgical in nature with conservative treatment being reserved for pts with co-morbidities.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I would suggest the following title-The treatment and complications of non-traumatic upper gastrointestinal perforation: An observational study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is fairly well written, with the aim and structure of this study is clearly explained, the key-words are also consistent with this study. I would suggest not using the term gastrointestinal perforation as this includes any perforation from the esophagus right down to the anus, since all the cases are non-traumatic perforation in the stomach, intestine and appendix, hence using upper gastrointestinal may be better.	
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct, the description of the cases with those that underwent surgery or conservative treatment and the complication that occurred were good. I would suggest using wound breakdown instead of wound gaping as it is a better terminology to use.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references are just adequate and I suggest that the following references be added Hernández-Díaz S, Rodríguez LA. Incidence of serious upper gastrointestinal bleeding/perforation in the general population: review of epidemiologic studies. J Clin Epidemiol. 2002 Feb;55(2):157-63. doi: 10.1016/s0895-4356(01)00461-9. PMID: 11809354. Lee J, Im C. Time-to-surgery paradigms: wait time and surgical outcomes in critically ill patients who underwent emergency surgery for gastrointestinal perforation. BMC Surg. 2024 May 17;24(1):159. doi: 10.1186/s12893-024-02452-w. PMID: 38760752; PMCID: PMC11100233. Sule AZ, Kidmas AT, Awani K, Uba F, Misauno M. Gastrointestinal perforation following blunt abdominal trauma. East Afr Med J. 2007 Sep;84(9):429-33. doi: 10.4314/eamj.v84i9.9552. PMID: 18074961.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the English quality that is used is adequate.	
Optional/General comments	no	

[Review Form3](#)

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	Kumar Hari Rajah
<u>Department, University & Country</u>	Taylor's University, School of Medicine and Health Science, Malaysia