Review Form3

Book Name:	**New Advances in Business, Management and Economics**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BPR_4186**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EXPLORING KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT AS A CORRELATE OF SMEs PERFORMANCE IN NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	**Book Chapter**

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's Feedback**(*Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here*)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Well, the field of knowledge management (KM) have continued to attract the attention of the academic public and corporate management. This chapter analyzed the impact of KM on the performance of SMEs in Southeast Nigeria. Nigeria is a large, interesting and turbulent country, so the findings could be applied to other countries in Africa.Methodologically, the sampling plan and data collection through questionnaires were done correctly. The results shown that companies that acquire new knowledge were more likely to satisfy their customers than those that maintained existing knowledge in order to achieve a higher market share.At the end of the paper, certain implications were highlighted. The management of the company is advised to establish a mechanism for interaction and knowledge sharing among employees.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is correctly done, all sections in the chapter are concisely followed.However, it is necessary for the author to stylistically adapt the penultimate sentence in the Abstract.*The remark is also indicated in the manuscript*	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	In section 3 Research Method, line 20, page 7, the author stated that he used the PCA technique. The author pointed out that he eliminated all factor loadings below 3.00! I claim that the values of the factor loadings in PCA can only range from -1 to 1 (Hair, J. et al., 2019). The author of the chapter could have meant the elimination of factor loadings of 0.3? That would be logical. *The author must correct this.Also, when PCA is used, the KMO and Bartlett' test results are usually mentioned, which the author of the chapter did not do. Let him mention them briefly. The remark is also indicated in the manuscript. It is also necessary for the author to point out the limits of the research in the Conclusion.*	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. **-**	The chapter contains 57 references. The largest number comes from scientific journals. Among others, there are articles published after 2020. The references follow the topic of the chapter well, and the author has methodically introduced the hypotheses based on the references used.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	In terms of language, have the author stylistically revise the first sentence in the Conclusion section.	
Optional/Generalcomments	To be published with minor revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Vojislav Babic**
Department, University & Country	**University of Belgrade, Serbia**