

Review Form3

Book Name:	Pharmaceutical Science: New Insights and Developments
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4196
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of Pharmacovigilance Elective module for medical students
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides a detailed framework for introducing pharmacovigilance as an elective module for MBBS students. It addresses a critical gap in undergraduate medical education in India, emphasizing the need for comprehensive training in adverse drug reactions (ADRs) management and reporting. The proposed module has significant potential to enhance the clinical competence of Indian Medical Graduates (IMGs), making them better clinicians, professionals, and critical thinkers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title accurately reflects the content and objective of the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The manuscript does not include a specific abstract in the provided document. It would be beneficial to add a concise summary of the objectives, methodology, and expected outcomes of the module.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. The outlined objectives, instructional design, and assessment strategies align well with the principles of medical education and pharmacovigilance.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references provided are relevant and appropriate. However, it may enhance the manuscript to include recent global resources or case studies related to pharmacovigilance education.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear and suitable for scholarly communication. Minor grammatical refinements could improve readability.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is well-structured and comprehensive. Minor revisions are suggested to improve the clarity and impact of the manuscript, including the addition of an abstract and supplementary references.	

Review Form3

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sheraz Fatima
Department, University & Country	Indiana Wesleyan University, USA