

[Review Form3](#)

Book Name:	An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4200
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of the Needs of Technology Applications in Differentiated Learning on Geometry Materials at Elementary School Semarang City
Type of the Article	BOOK CHAPTER

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it addresses the critical need for integrating technology applications in differentiated learning for geometry education in elementary schools. By highlighting the challenges students face in understanding abstract geometric concepts and the potential of technology-based interventions, it contributes valuable insights into improving student engagement and learning outcomes. The findings emphasize the importance of tailored teaching approaches that cater to diverse learning styles and cognitive levels. Furthermore, the study's focus on practical applications and data-driven recommendations offers a foundation for future research and the development of effective educational technologies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is understandable but it can be presented in this way: "Needs Analysis of Technology Applications for Differentiated Learning on Geometry Materials in Semarang City Elementary Schools"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensible with relevant aspects included such as objective, methodology, result and conclusion. However, for introduction, the author should touch on the global or local context related to the topic. Besides, the author can add a sentence on problem statement and research gap.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct as it is based on well-established educational theories and supported by empirical findings. A quantitative questionnaire was distributed to 47 participants.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Most of the references are recent (latest 5 years) except for those related to theories which are fine.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language used in the article is suitable for scholarly communications. However, the author might need to look at certain grammatical errors such as: - double "have" on page 2 - start sentences using conjunction "so, but"	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	For the result presentation which is in the form of graphs, it would be better if the author could standardise them either vertically or horizontally. Fig 1 and 2 are different from Fig 3 and 4 although they all show the result of the questionnaire.	

[Review Form3](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Geoffrey Lim Fu Chien
Department, University & Country	Malaysia