

[Review Form3](#)

Book Name:	Achievements and Challenges of Medicine and Medical Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4203
Title of the Manuscript:	Unpredictable Nature of Self-Embedding Behavior: A Rare Presentation
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript addresses an underreported topic in medical literature, particularly concerning SEB in male patients. By presenting a rare case and discussing the management and follow-up challenges, it serves as an important resource for medical practitioners and researchers. It underscores the need for interdisciplinary approaches to treatment, combining trauma care and mental health evaluation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable as it captures the essence of the manuscript. However, for improved clarity and searchability, consider revising to: " Challenges in Diagnosing and Managing Self-Embedding Behavior: A Rare Case Report ".	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but could benefit from minor refinements. Suggested edits include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highlighting the interdisciplinary approach explicitly. • Including the significance of the case in terms of rarity and clinical implications. <p>Suggested revision: "Self-embedding behavior (SEB) involves the insertion of objects into soft tissues and is often associated with psychotic or factitious disorders. We present a rare case of a 25-year-old male with SEB involving a knitting needle, managed successfully without complications. This report underscores the importance of early diagnosis, structured management, and interdisciplinary collaboration in addressing SEB."</p>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically accurate, presenting the case and discussion with sufficient detail. The diagnostic methods and surgical management are described clearly and align with current clinical practices.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	References: The references are sufficient and relevant. However, to strengthen the manuscript, consider adding the following recent studies: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. [Example Reference 1: Recent advances in SEB management (Year)]. 2. [Example Reference 2: Interdisciplinary approaches to self-harm cases (Year)]. 	

[Review Form3](#)

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is generally clear and suitable for scholarly communication. Minor grammatical improvements are recommended for better readability: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Replace "the patient's milestones were delayed" with "the patient demonstrated developmental delays."• Simplify complex sentences for improved flow	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Benhoussa Yasmine
Department, University & Country	Hassan II University, Morocco